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## 55th World Congress of Chess Composition 36th World Chess Solving Championship

| Date | Morning | Afternoon | Evening |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $9 / 15$ | Arrival | Quick Composing |  |  |  |
| $9 / 16$ | Registration | WFCC Opening Session | Lectures (by participants) |  |  |
| $9 / 17$ | Open Solving | Chess-Shogi Friendship Match | Lectures (by Yoshikazu Ueda and |  |  |
| $9 / 18$ | WCSC $1^{\text {st }}$ day | WFCC Session/Lecture (Madoka Kitao, Maiko <br> Fujita) | Satoshi Hashimoto) |  |  |
| $9 / 19$ | WCSC $2^{\text {nd }}$ day | WFCC Session/Lecture (Manao Kagawa) <br> /Concert (Rokuro Akashi) | Lecture (by Tadashi Wakashima) |  |  |
| $9 / 20$ | Excursion to Kyoto | Colving Show |  |  |  |
| $9 / 21$ | WFCC Closing <br> Session | Prizegiving | Clonquet |  |  |
| $9 / 22$ | Departure |  |  |  |  |
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$36^{\text {th }}$ WCSC Tournament Champion Team Poland --- Mista, Murdzia, Piorun

## Delegates

```
Harry Fougiaxis (Greece) President
Hannu Harkola (Finland) 1st Vice-President
Georgy Evseev (Russia) 2nd Vice-President
Thomas Maeder (Switzerland) 3rd Vice-President, Treasurer
Günter Büsing (Germany) Secretary
Alexander Kostka (Austria)
Ilham Aliev (Azerbaijan)
Marcel Van Herck (Belgium)
Roberto Stelling (Brazil)
Diyan Kostadinov (Bulgaria)
František Sabol (Czech Republic)
Bjørn Enemark (Denmark)
Axel Gilbert (France)
David Gurgenidze (Georgia)
bernd ellinghoven (Germany)
Paul Valois (Great Britain)
Paz Einat (Israel)
Marco Bonavoglia (Italy)
Tadashi Wakashima (Japan)
Vidmantas Satkus (Lithuania)
Hans Uitenbroek (Netherlands)
Piotr Murdzia (Poland)
Dinu-Ioan Nicula (Romania)
Marjan Kovačević (Serbia)
Peter Gvozdják (Slovakia)
Marko Klasinc (Slovenia)
Kjell Widlert (Sweden)
Valery Kopyl (Ukraine)
Mike Prcic (USA)
```

| List of Participants |  | Ireland | Allan Bell |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Israel | Ofer Comay |
| Austria | Alexander Kostka | Israel | Uli Comay |
| Azerbaijan | Ilham Aliev | Israel | Paz Einat |
| Azerbaijan | A Araz Almammadov | Israel | Olga Erenburg |
| Azerbaijan | M Misratdin Iskandarov | Israel | Mark Erenburg |
| Azerbaijan | n Ramil Javadov | Isarel | Nilly Witztum |
| Azerbaijan | I Zaur Mammadov | Israel | Menachem Witztum |
| Azerbaijan | Lutfiyar Rustamov | Italy | Marco Bonavoglia |
| Belarus | Mikalai Sihnevich | Lithuania | Martynas Limontas |
| Belgium | Maria Kuzmicheva | Lithuania | Vidmantas Satkus |
| Belgium | Andy Ooms | Netherlan | ds Hans Uitenbroek |
| Belgium | Marcel Van Herck | Netherlan | ds Peter van den Heuvel |
| Brazil | Georgeane Fukumura | Poland | Aleksander Miśta |
| Brazil | Pedro Fukumura | Poland | Piotr Murdzia |
| Brazil | Robert Stelling | Poland | Kacper Piorun |
| Brazil | Ricardo de Mattos Vieira | Poland | Olga Smolenska |
| Bulgaria | Diyan Kostadinov | Romania | Dinu-Ioan Nicula |
| Czech | Frantisek Sabol | Russia | Georgy Evseev |
| Denmark | Bjørn Enemark | Russia | Larisa Evseeva |
| Finland | Hannu Harkola | Russia | Alexandr Feoktistov |
| Finland | Marja-Liisa Harkola | Russia | Evgeny Kopylov |
| France | Michel Caillaud | Russia | Irina Kovalenko |
| France | Axel Gilbert | Russia | Vitaly Kovalenko |
| France | Maxime Vachier-Lagrave | Russia | Irina Kuzmicheva |
| Georgia | David Gurgenidze | Russia | Vladimir Kuzmichev |
| Georgia | Tato Gurgenidze | Russia | Anatoly Mukoseev |
| Germany H | Hemmo Axt | Russia | Oleg Pervakov |
| Germany | Günter Büsing | Russia | Gertruda Rossomakho |
| Germany | Claus Czeremin | Russia | Yakov Rossomakho |
| Germany b | bernd ellinghoven | Russia | Andrey Selivanov |
| Germany | Volker Gülke | Russia | Igor Vereshchagin |
| Germany M | Michael Pfannkuche | Serbia | Marjan Kovačević |
| Germany | Gerd Reichling | Serbia | Vladimir Podnic |
| Germany A | Axel Steinbrink | Singapole | James Quah |
| Germany D | Dagmar Steinbrink | Slovakia | Peter Gvozdják |
| Germany R | Rainer Staude | Slovakia | Marek Kolčák |
| Germany | Boris Tummes | Slovenia | Marko Klasinc |
| Germany A | Arno Zude | Sweden | Kjell Widlert |
| Greece H | Harry Fougiaxis | Switzerla | Franziska Iseli |


| Switzerland $\quad$ Thomas Maeder | Members of the Organizing |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UK | Michael McDowell | Committee |
| UK | Roddy McKay |  |
| UK | Paul Valois | Yoko Akada |
| UK | Ian Watson | Izumi Chikamoto |
| Ukraine | Khrystyna Artseva | Takashi Chikamoto |
| Ukraine | Oksana Artseva | Kanako Ezaki |
| Ukraine | Serhiy Kopyl Jr | Setsuko Ikezaki |
| Ukraine | Valery Kopyl | Takahiro Inoue |
| Ukraine | Valery Kopyl Jr | Yoiko Ishii |
| Ukraine | Valerii Kryvenko | Tadashi Ito |
| Ukraine | Vera Lyutikova | Naoki Iwasa |
| Ukraine | Tetjana Pavlovets | Marie Makino |
| Ukraine | Nikola Veliky | Takuya Morita |
| USA | Mike Prcic | Masaya Nakamura |
|  |  | Mako Sakazawa |
| Japan | Atsuo Hara | Keiko Suwa |
| Japan | Satoshi Hashimoto | Ai Takahashi |
| Japan | Takahiro Horie | Yaeko Tomita |
| Japan | Tetsuya Inoue | Tadashi Wakashima (Chairman) |
| Japan | Taichi Iwai | Yoshinori Yamada |
| Japan | Yuji Kikuta | Tomoko Yoshimoto |
| Japan | Toshiki Kobayashi |  |
| Japan | Kiyoshi Koizumi |  |
| Japan | Naoki Matsuzaki |  |
| Japan | Shinichi Ota |  |
| Japan | Kenichi Sumi |  |
| Japan | Seiichiro Sunouchi |  |
| Japan | Tomomichi Suzuki |  |
| Japan | Hiromi Tsutsui |  |
| Japan | Kohey Yamada |  |
| Japan | Hitoshi Yanami |  |
| Japan | Masato Yoshii |  |
| Japan | Maki Yoshioka |  |
| Japan | Yoshikazu Ueda |  |
| Japan | Akira Uchida |  |

Open Solving Tournament Kobe, $17^{\text {th }}$ September 2012

|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Points | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nr * | Name | * | Count * | * | * | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | * | * | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | * | * | $1 *$ | $1 *$ | * | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | 60 * | 180* |
| 1 | Comay, Ofer |  | ISR | 5 | 5 | 3.5 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 50.5 | 180 |
| 2 | Limontas, Martynas |  | LTU | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | - | 5 | - | 49 | 179 |
| 3 | Kovacevic, Marjan |  | SRB | 5 | 5 | 3.5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | - | 5 | 0 | 47.5 | 180 |
| 3 | Piorun, Kacper |  | POL | 5 | 5 | 4.5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | - | 5 | - | 47.5 | 180 |
| 5 | Feoktistov, Aleksandr |  | RUS | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | - | 5 | 0 | 46 | 180 |
| 6 | Zude, Arno |  | GER | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | - | 5 | - | 44 | 180 |
| 7 | Mukoseev, Anatoly |  | RUS | 5 | 5 | 4.5 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 3 | - | 5 | 0 | 43.5 | 180 |
| 8 | Murdzia, Piotr |  | POL | 5 | 5 | 4.5 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | - | 5 | 0 | 40.5 | 180 |
| 8 | Pfannkuche, Michael |  | GER | 5 | 5 | 4.5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | - | 5 | - | 5 | 0 | 40.5 | 180 |
| 10 | Czeremin, Claus |  | GER | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.5 | - | 4 | - | 39.5 | 180 |
| 10 | Mista, Alexander |  | POL | 5 | 5 | 3.5 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | - | 5 | 0 | 39.5 | 180 |
| 12 | Nicula, Dinu-Ioan |  | ROU | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 3 | - | 5 | - | 38 | 180 |
| 13 | Kopyl, Valery |  | UKR | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2.5 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | - | 4 | 0 | 37.5 | 180 |
| 13 | Vachier-Lagrave, Maxime |  | FRA | 5 | 5 | 4.5 | - | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | - | - | - | 37.5 | 180 |
| 15 | Caillaud, Michel |  | FRA | 5 | 5 | 4.5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | - | 5 | 0 | 36.5 | 180 |
| 15 | Podinic, Vladimir |  | SRB | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2.5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | - | 3 | - | 5 | - | 36.5 | 180 |
| 17 | Erenburg, Mark |  | ISR | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | - | 5 | - | 5 | - | 36 | 180 |
| 18 | Selivanov, Andrey |  | RUS | 5 | 5 | 4.5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | - | 5 | - | 35.5 | 180 |
| 19 | Krivenko, Valery |  | UKR | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | - | 5 | - | 35 | 180 |
| 20 | Almammadov, Araz |  | AZE | 5 | 5 | 4.5 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 5 | 5 | - | 5 | - | 34.5 | 178 |
| 21 | Maeder, Thomas |  | SUI | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | - | - | 0 | 5 | 5 | - | 5 | - | 34 | 180 |
| 22 | Satkus, Vidmantas |  | LTU | 5 | 5 | 2.5 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | - | 4 | 0 | 33.5 | 180 |
| 23 | Kovalenko, Vitaly |  | RUS | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | - | - | - | 33 | 180 |
| 24 | Uitenbroek, Hans |  | NED | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | 5 | - | 32 | 180 |
| 25 | Mammadov, Zaur |  | AZE | 5 | 5 | 0 | - | 5 | 5 | - | 0 | 5 | - | 5 | - | 30 | 180 |
| 26 | Gülke, Volker |  | GER | 5 | 5 | 3.5 | 1 | - | - | 0 | 5 | 5 | - | 5 | 0 | 29.5 | 180 |
| 26 | Tummes, Boris |  | GER | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2.5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 5 | - | 0 | - | 29.5 | 180 |
| 28 | Heuvel, Peter van den |  | NED | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | - | 3 | - | 5 | - | 29 | 180 |
| 29 | Einat, Paz |  | ISR | 5 | 5 | 4 | - | 1 | 4 | 0 | - | 5 | - | 4 | - | 28 | 180 |
| 29 | Stelling, Roberto |  | BRA | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 28 | 180 |
| 31 | Javadov, Ramil |  | AZE | 5 | 5 | 4.5 | - | 2 | 5 | - | 1 | - | - | 5 | - | 27.5 | 180 |
| 31 | Ooms, Andy |  | BEL | 5 | 5 | 3.5 | - | 1 | 5 | 0 | - | 3 | - | 5 | - | 27.5 | 180 |
| 33 | Kolcak, Marek |  | SVK | 5 | 5 | 4 | - | - | 5 | - | 0 | 3 | - | 5 | - | 27 | 180 |
| 33 | McDowell, Michael |  | GBR | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | - | 3 | - | 5 | - | 27 | 180 |
| 35 | Axt, Hemmo |  | GER | 5 | 5 | 3.5 | - | - | - | 5 | - | 3 | - | 5 | - | 26.5 | 180 |
| 35 | McKay, Roddy |  | GBR | 5 | 5 | 4 | - | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1.5 | - | 4 | - | 26.5 | 180 |
| 35 | Watson, Ian |  | GBR | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | - | 5 | 0 | 26.5 | 180 |
| 38 | Pervakov, Oleg |  | RUS | 5 | 5 | 5 | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | 26 | 180 |
| 38 | Rustamov, Lutfiyar |  | AZE | 5 | 5 | 4.5 | 5 | - | - | - | - | 1.5 | - | 5 | - | 26 | 180 |
| 40 | Yamada, Kohei |  | JPN | 5 | 5 | 4.5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | - | 3 | - | 2.5 | - | 25 | 180 |
| 41 | Iskandarov, Misratdin |  | AZE | 5 | 5 | 4.5 | - | 1 | 5 | - | - | 3 | - | 0 | - | 23.5 | 180 |
| 42 | Sihnevic, Mikalai |  | BLR | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1.5 | - | - | - | 22.5 | 180 |
| 43 | Kikuta, Yuji |  | JPN | 5 | 5 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 4 | - | 22 | 180 |
| 43 | Yoshioka, Masaki |  | JPN | 5 | 5 | 3 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 3 | - | 5 | 0 | 22 | 180 |
| 45 | Klasinc, Marko |  | SLO | 5 | 5 | 4.5 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 4 | - | 21.5 | 180 |
| 45 | Quah, James |  | SIN | 5 | 5 | 3.5 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 5 | - | 21.5 | 180 |
| 47 | Sabol, Frantisek |  | CZE | 5 | 5 | 3.5 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 1.5 | - | 5 | - | 20 | 180 |
| 48 | Van Herck, Marcel |  | BEL | 5 | 5 | - | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | - | - | - | 2.5 | - | 19.5 | 180 |
| 49 | Gilbert, Axel |  | FRA | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19 | 180 |
| 50 | Kopylov, Evgeny |  | RUS | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 18 | 180 |
| 51 | Kuzmichev, Vladimir |  | RUS | 5 | 5 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 5 | - | - | - | 17 | 180 |
| 52 | Suzuki, Tomomichi |  | JPN | 5 | 5 | 3.5 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 16.5 | 180 |
| 53 | Harkola, Hannu |  | FIN | 5 | 5 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 15 | 180 |
| 53 | Inoue, Tetsuya |  | JPN | 5 | 5 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 15 | 180 |
| 53 | Kobayashi, Toshiki |  | JPN | 5 | 5 | 3.5 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 1.5 | - | - | - | 15 | 180 |
| 56 | Hara, Atsuo |  | JPN | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | 3 | - | 5 | 0 | 13 | 180 |
| 56 | Sunouchi, Seiichiro |  | JPN | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 0 | - | 0 | 13 | 180 |
| 58 | Uchida, Akira |  | JPN | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 8 | 180 |
| 59 | Sumi, Kenichi |  | JPN | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | 6 | 180 |
| 60 | Enemark, Bjorn |  | DEN | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 180 |
| 60 | Horie, Takahiro |  | JPN | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 180 |
| 60 | Koizumi, Kiyochi |  | JPN | 0 | 5 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | 5 | 180 |
| 60 | Matsuzaki, Naoki |  | JPN | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 180 |
| 64 | Yanami, Hitoshi |  | JPN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | 1.5 | - | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 180 |
| 65 | Iwai, Taichi |  | JPN | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 180 |
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## $55^{\text {th }}$ World Congress of Chess Composition

## Open Solving Tournament <br> Kobe, $17^{\text {th }}$ September 2012



| 6 | Ernest Pogosyants, Kommunisti 1973, 3.HM |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1. Sh6+ |  | Kh7 | 2. Qc2+ | (1) |
|  | 2. ... Kh8 | 3. Qc8+ | Sf8 | 4. Qxf8+ | (1) |
|  | 4. ... Kh7 | 5. Qg8+ |  |  | (1) |
|  | 5. ... Qxg8 | 6. g6+ | Kh8 | 7. Sf7+ | (1) |
|  | 7.... Qxf7 | 8. $\mathrm{gx7} 7$ | g6+ | 9. Kh6 | (1) |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Norman MacLeod, Diagrammes 1987-88, 2. HM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Rf2! | thr. | 2. g 4 | hxg4 | 3. Sf1 | (-) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.... | h4 | 2. Rf1 | d2, e4 | 3. 94+ | hxg3 e.p. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4. Sg4 | Kxg4 | 5. Be6+ | (5) | 6. Rh1 \# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Camillo Gamnitzer, The Problemist 1988, 3. Comm. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Rh3? | h5! | 1. Rg3? | Sxg6! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Kb5! | thr. | 2. Qf5+ | Kd6 | 3. Qd7+ | (1) | Ke5 | 4. S | \# |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.... | Re2, Re1 | 2. Rh3 | h5 | 3. Rg3 | (4) | Sxg6 | 4. R | \# |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Tibor Balo, The Problemist 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Kg 2 | Sxa3 | 2. Kf3 | Sc4 | 3. Bg2 | Se5 \# | @ |  |  | Points für Nr. 9: |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. a 2 | Kxc3 | 2. Ke 2 | Kb2 | 3. Kd1 | Sc3 \# | @ |  |  | @ | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
|  | 1. Rg2 | Bf2 | 2. Qe3+ | Kxe3 | 3. cxd2 | Sxd2 \# | @ |  |  | Pts | 1,5 | 3 | 5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Randolf Arnold, Original for Open Kobe 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Sc3 | dxc3 | 2. d2 | Bf5 | 3. Be4 | fxe4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.f3 | cxd4+ | 5. Kf4 | g3 \# | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Vukota Nikoletic, The Problemist 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Points für Nr. 11: |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Sg3! | thr. | 2. $\mathrm{Bb} 3+$ | Sd5 | 3. Qd4+ | @ | Bxd4 \# |  |  | @ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|  | 1.... | Rxb4 | 2. Bxf7+ | Rd4 | 3. Bc4+ | @ | Qxc4 \# |  |  | Pts | 1 | 2,5 | 4 | 5 |
|  | 1.... | cxd5 | 2. Qg6+ | fxg6 | 3. Rxd5+ | @ | Qxd5 \# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.... | Sc7 | 2. $\mathrm{BxC6}+$ | Sd5 | 3. Bb5+ | @ | Rxb5 \# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Sven-Hendrik LoBin, Original for Rochade Europa 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Sa4! (i) | Sxc4 | 2. f6 (ii) | Sg4 (!) (iii) | 3. Rxg 4 | Bg 7 (!) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4. Sb2+ (iv) | Sxb2 | 5. Ba4 | any | 6. Bb5(+) | any | 7. Qd2+ |  | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (i) 1. Rh4? | Sf1! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (ii) thr. | 3. Qb5 | 4. $\mathrm{Sb}^{2+}$ |  |  | 2. fxg6? | Be5! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (iii) $2 . . . \mathrm{Sa3}, \mathrm{Sa5}$ |  | 3. 77 | 4. Qb5 |  | 2... Se5 | 3. 77 | thr. 4. $\mathrm{Qxg6+}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2. ...d5 | 3. Bxc4+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (iv) 4. Qb5? | Bh6+! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## * <br> 
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36th WCSC Tournament Kobe, 18-19 September 2012

|  |  |  | \#2 | \#2 | \#3 | \#3 | eg | eg | H\# | H\# | \#n | \#n | S\# | S\# |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Points | Time | Points | Time | Points | Time | Points | Time | Points | Time | Points | Time |  |  |
|  | Name |  | 15 | 20 | 15 | 60 | 15 | 100 | 15 | 50 | 15 | 80 | 15 | 50 | 90 | 360 |
| 1 | POLAND |  | 30 | 32 | 30 | 110 | 28 | 200 | 28 | 90 | 24 | 152 | 15 | 100 |  |  |
|  | Mista, Alexander | POL | 15 | 20 | 6.5 | 60 | 13 | 100 | 10 | 50 | 5 | 80 | 5 | 50 | 54.5 | 360 |
|  | Murdzia, Piotr | POL | 15 | 14 | 15 | 60 | 10 | 88 | 13 | 50 | 14 | 72 | 10 | 50 | 77 | 334 |
|  | Piorun, Kacper | POL | 15 | 18 | 15 | 50 | 15 | 100 | 15 | 40 | 10 | 80 | 5 | 50 | 75 | 338 |
| 2 | GERMANY |  | 30 | 27 | 21.5 | 120 | 20 | 200 | 24 | 100 | 29 | 154 | 20 | 100 |  |  |
|  | Pfannkuche, Michael | GER | 15 | 16 | 3 | 60 | 3 | 100 | 11.5 | 50 | 14 | 74 | 10 | 50 | 56.5 | 350 |
|  | Tummes, Boris | GER | 15 | 20 | 6.5 | 60 | 7 | 100 | 12.5 | 50 | 11 | 80 | 10 | 50 | 62 | 360 |
|  | Zude, Arno | GER | 15 | 11 | 15 | 60 | 13 | 100 | 8 | 50 | 15 | 80 | 10 | 50 | 76 | 351 |
| 3 | RUSSIA |  | 30 | 35 | 28 | 120 | 19 | 195 | 21 | 100 | 18.5 | 160 | 20 | 100 |  |  |
|  | Feoktistov, Aleksandr | RUS | 15 | 17 | 13 | 60 | 2 | 100 | 9 | 50 | 6 | 80 | 10 | 50 | 55 | 357 |
|  | Mukoseev, Anatoly | RUS | 15 | 20 | 15 | 60 | 12 | 95 | 10.5 | 50 | 11.5 | 80 | 10 | 50 | 74 | 355 |
|  | Selivanov, Andrey | RUS | 15 | 18 | 9 | 60 | 7 | 100 | 10.5 | 50 | 7 | 80 | 10 | 50 | 58.5 | 358 |
| 4 | SERBIA |  | 30 | 34 | 30 | 115 | 17 | 181 | 15.5 | 100 | 19.5 | 160 | 19 | 100 |  |  |
|  | Podinic, Vladimir | SRB | 15 | 20 | 15 | 56 | 14 | 81 | 10.5 | 50 | 9 | 80 | 10 | 50 | 73.5 | 337 |
|  | Kovacevic, Marjan | SRB | 15 | 14 | 15 | 59 | 3 | 100 | 5 | 50 | 10.5 | 80 | 9 | 50 | 57.5 | 353 |
| 5 | FRANCE |  | 30 | 31 | 20 | 119 | 20 | 141 | 22.5 | 100 | 14.5 | 160 | 10 | 100 |  |  |
|  | Caillaud, Michel | FRA | 15 | 14 | 15 | 59 | 3 | 100 | 15 | 50 | 9.5 | 80 | 10 | 50 | 67.5 | 353 |
|  | Gilbert, Axel | FRA | 15 | 17 | 0 | 60 | 5 | 100 | 7.5 | 50 | 5 | 80 | 0 | 50 | 32.5 | 357 |
|  | Vachier-Lagrave, Maxime | FRA | 15 | 20 | 5 | 60 | 15 | 41 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 50 | 35 | 301 |
| 6 | AZERBAIJAN |  | 30 | 30 | 13 | 119 | 21 | 184 | 15.5 | 100 | 17 | 160 | 19 | 100 |  |  |
|  | Almammadov, Araz | AZE | 15 | 17 | 4 | 60 | 9 | 100 | 8 | 50 | 8.5 | 80 | 10 | 50 | 54.5 | 357 |
|  | Javadov, Ramil | AZE | 15 | 17 | 4 | 60 | 11 | 100 | 5 | 50 | 8.5 | 80 | 9 | 50 | 52.5 | 357 |
|  | Mammadov, Zaur | AZE | 15 | 13 | 9 | 59 | 10 | 84 | 7.5 | 50 | 0 | 80 | 5 | 50 | 46.5 | 336 |
| 7 | GREAT BRITAIN |  | 30 | 38 | 15 | 120 | 8 | 198 | 18 | 100 | 14.5 | 160 | 19 | 100 |  |  |
|  | McDowell, Michael | GBR | 15 | 20 | 6.5 | 60 | 0 | 100 | 11.5 | 50 | 9.5 | 80 | 10 | 50 | 52.5 | 360 |
|  | McKay, Roddy | GBR | 15 | 18 | 8 | 60 | 3 | 100 | 6.5 | 50 | 5 | 80 | 5 | 50 | 42.5 | 358 |
|  | Watson, lan | GBR | 15 | 20 | 7 | 60 | 5 | 98 | 6.5 | 50 | 0 | 80 | 9 | 50 | 42.5 | 358 |
| 8 | SLOVAKIA |  | 30 | 31 | 23 | 120 | 4 | 200 | 19.5 | 100 | 7 | 160 | 20 | 100 |  |  |
|  | Gvozdjak, Peter | SVK | 15 | 13 | 10 | 60 | 3 | 100 | 8 | 50 | 3.5 | 80 | 10 | 50 | 49.5 | 353 |
|  | Kolcak, Marek | SVK | 15 | 18 | 13 | 60 | 1 | 100 | 11.5 | 50 | 3.5 | 80 | 10 | 50 | 54 | 358 |
| 9 | LITHUANIA |  | 30 | 35 | 20.5 | 120 | 4 | 200 | 23 | 95 | 10 | 160 | 13 | 100 |  |  |
|  | Limontas, Martynas | LTU | 15 | 20 | 11.5 | 60 | 4 | 100 | 8 | 50 | 5 | 80 | 5 | 50 | 48.5 | 360 |
|  | Satkus, Vidmantas | LTU | 15 | 15 | 9 | 60 | 0 | 100 | 15 | 45 | 5 | 80 | 8 | 50 | 52 | 350 |
| 10 | ISRAEL |  | 25 | 32 | 15 | 120 | 14 | 200 | 15.5 | 100 | 11 | 160 | 19 | 100 |  |  |
|  | Comay, Ofer | ISR | 15 | 12 | 15 | 60 | 9 | 100 | 10.5 | 50 | 11 | 80 | 10 | 50 | 70.5 | 352 |
|  | Einat, Paz | ISR | 10 | 20 | 0 | 60 | 5 | 100 | 5 | 50 | 0 | 80 | 9 | 50 | 29 | 360 |
| 11 | NETHERLANDS |  | 30 | 30 | 14 | 120 | 14 | 200 | 16 | 100 | 1.5 | 160 | 19 | 100 |  |  |
|  | Heuvel, Peter van den | NED | 15 | 13 | 1 | 60 | 12 | 100 | 8 | 50 | 0 | 80 | 9 | 50 | 45 | 353 |
|  | Uitenbroek, Hans | NED | 15 | 17 | 13 | 60 | 2 | 100 | 8 | 50 | 1.5 | 80 | 10 | 50 | 49.5 | 357 |
| 12 | JAPAN |  | 30 | 36 | 14 | 120 | 11 | 166 | 15.5 | 100 | 10.5 | 160 | 13 | 100 |  |  |
|  | Kikuta, Yuji | JPN | 15 | 18 | 9 | 60 | 2 | 70 | 6.5 | 50 | 5 | 80 | 5 | 50 | 42.5 | 328 |
|  | Yamada, Kohei | JPN | 15 | 18 | 0 | 60 | 1 | 100 | 9 | 50 | 5.5 | 80 | 5 | 50 | 35.5 | 358 |
|  | Yoshioka, Masaki | JPN | 15 | 20 | 5 | 60 | 9 | 96 | 5 | 50 | 4.5 | 80 | 8 | 50 | 46.5 | 356 |
| 13 | UKRAINE |  | 30 | 35 | 12.5 | 120 | 6 | 200 | 23 | 100 | 5 | 160 | 15 | 100 |  |  |
|  | Artseva, Kristina | UKR | 5 | 20 | 1 | 60 | 1 | 100 | 2.5 | 50 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 50 | 9.5 | 360 |
|  | Kopyl, Valery | UKR | 15 | 20 | 5 | 60 | 2 | 100 | 13 | 50 | 5 | 80 | 10 | 50 | 50 | 360 |
|  | Krivenko, Valery | UKR | 15 | 15 | 7.5 | 60 | 4 | 100 | 10 | 50 | 0 | 80 | 5 | 50 | 41.5 | 355 |
| 14 | BELGIUM |  | 30 | 40 | 7 | 120 | 11 | 200 | 21 | 100 | 0 | 160 | 14 | 100 |  |  |
|  | Ooms, Andy | BEL | 15 | 20 | 7 | 60 | 8 | 100 | 13 | 50 | 0 | 80 | 4 | 50 | 47 | 360 |
|  | Van Herck, Marcel | BEL | 15 | 20 | 0 | 60 | 3 | 100 | 8 | 50 | 0 | 80 | 10 | 50 | 36 | 360 |
| 15 | JAPAN B |  | 20 | 40 | 9 | 120 | 4 | 197 | 14 | 100 | 10 | 160 | 13 | 100 |  |  |
|  | Kobayashi, Toshiki | JPN | 15 | 20 | 4 | 60 | 2 | 97 | 7.5 | 50 | 0 | 80 | 3 | 50 | 31.5 | 357 |
|  | Suzuki, Tomomichi | JPN | 0 | 20 | 0 | 60 | 2 | 100 | 6.5 | 50 | 5 | 80 | 5 | 50 | 18.5 | 360 |
|  | Tsutsui, Hiromi | JPN | 5 | 20 | 5 | 60 | 2 | 100 | 6.5 | 50 | 5 | 80 | 8 | 50 | 31.5 | 360 |

## 36 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ World Chess Solving Championship

Kobe, $18{ }^{\text {th }}-19^{\text {th }}$ September 2012
Round 1 solutions: $3 \times$ \#2


## 36 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ World Chess Solving Championship

Kobe, $18^{\text {th }}-19^{\text {th }}$ September 2012
Round 2 solutions: $3 \times$ \#3


## $36^{\text {th }}$ World Chess Solving Championship

 Kobe, $18^{{ }^{\text {th }}-19^{\text {th }} \text { September } 2012}$Round 3 solutions: $\mathbf{3 \times e . g}$.


## 36 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ World Chess Solving Championship

Kobe, 18 ${ }^{\text {th }}-19^{\text {th }}$ September 2012
Round 4 solutions: $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{x}$ H\#


## $36^{\text {th }}$ World Chess Solving Championship

Kobe, $18^{\text {th }}-19^{\text {th }}$ September 2012
Round 5 solutions: $\mathbf{3 \times N}$ \#


## 36 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ World Chess Solving Championship

Kobe, $18^{\text {th }}-19^{\text {th }}$ September 2012
Round 6 solutions: $3 \times \mathrm{S}$ \#


## Long Thematic Tourney --- Orthodox \#3~ <br> (Tadashi Wakashima-60 Jubilee Tourney)

Theme: \#3~ featuring stalemate.
Judge: Tadashi Wakashima

I received 36 entries and retained 5 . The standard is regrettably low.


1. exf4+? Se3 2.Kd2? stalemate
2. Rd7!
1...fxe3 2.Rd3! S~/exd3 3.Rxe3/Qa8\#
(2.Rf7? stalemate)
1...Sxe3 2.Sg3! fxg3 3.Rf7\# (2.Rf7? stalemate)
1...S~2.exf4+ e3 3.Qa8\#
1...Sd4 2.exd4+ e3 3.Qa8\#
1...Sd6 2.cxd6 fxe3 3.Rf7\#
1...Se7 2.Rxe7 fxe3 3.Rf7\#

The only problem that is really convincing. Particularly impressive is the sacrifice $2 . R d 3$ ! after the subtle key move 1.Rd7! (1.Rd8? Se7!).

1.Rxh8? stalemate
1.Bf2! Rg8 2.Bg1 Rh8 3.Bxc5 Rg8 4.Bg1 Rh8 5.Rxh8! c5 6.Rxh2\#

An interesting mixture of stalemate avoidance with Pendel.


1. a8=Q? stalemate
2. a 4 ? $\mathrm{g} 1=\mathrm{R}$ ! 2.a8=Q+ Rg2 3.Bd5 stalemate
3. $\mathrm{a} 8=\mathrm{R}$ ! zz
1...g1=Q 2.Bd5+ Qg2 3.Re8! Qxd5 4.Re1\#
$1 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 1=\mathrm{R}$ ! 2.Re8! (2.Bd5+? Rg2 3.Re8 stalemate) Rg2 3.Re1+ (3.Bd5? stalemate) Rg1 4.Bd5\#
2...Rxg3+ 3.Bxg3 (3.Kxg3? stalemate) Kg1 4.Re1\#

Five-fold stalemate avoidance. The correspondence between $1 . a 8=\mathrm{R}$ ! and $1 \ldots$
$\mathrm{g} 1=\mathrm{R}$ ! is fine.


1. e7 Ra8 2.Rd8 Rxd8 3.exd8=S! (3.exd8=Q?
stalemate) Kd5 4.g7 Ke4 5.g8=S!
(5.g8=Q? stalemate) Kd5 6.Sf6\#

Two-fold stalemate avoidance by S promotions. Simple and elegant.

## O. Comay

J32 M. Erenburg, M. Witztum Kobe 2012 Wakashima 60-JT Comm

a) try: $1 . \mathrm{Sc} 1$ ? dxc1=R! $2 . \mathrm{Qg} 8$ stalemate

1. Sbc5! (2.Qg8-3.Qg1\#)
b) try: 1.Sbc5? c1=B! 2.Qg8 stalemate
2. Sc1! (2.Qg8-3.Qg1\#)

Exchange of try and play with stalemate defences, which are obvious in the diagram position.

## Long Thematic Tourney

 --- Helpmate in 2.5 movesTheme: H\#2.5
In two solutions (or twins) White`s first and second moves are exchanged (A - B in one solution \& B - A in the second). The mate is different. No fairy pieces or conditions allowed.

Judge: Marjan Kovačević

This was one of the three thematic conditions suggested by Paz Einat. I preferred the length of 2,5 moves, since it makes the dual avoidance more interesting and harder to achieve.

All 19 anonymous problems I received were interesting to analyze.

Fadil Abdurahmanovic
L16(V) bernd ellinghoven Kobe 2012 Long Composing (Hi\#) 1st Pr

1...Sg4 2.Bh6 Sf4 3.Sde4 d3\#
1...Sf4 2.Bh3 Sg4 3.Sce4 Se6\#

The dual-avoidance is achieved by closing of lines of both black bishops. In the same time, these effects are used as useful, since both mates use the exactly the same interference that avoids the other order of the moves.

L08 Menachem Witztum
Kobe 2012 Long Composing (H\#) 2nd Pr

1...Bxb5 2.Qd2 Rh3+ 3.Be3 Sc5\#
1...Rh3 2.Rc2 Bxb5+ 3.Rgc4 Sf4\#

A perfect harmony and again double use of the main thematic effect - pinning as harmful and as useful. As a whole this composition may rate better in an informal tourney, but the thematic effects seem to me more straight forward than in the previous work.

1...0-0-0 2.Rd8 Bc5 3.Rd5 Rde1\#
1...Bc5 2.Ra4 0-0-0 3.Re4 Bd6\#

A fresh and surprising use of castling to produce dual-avoidance, in a very light position. A dear composition.

L10(V) Menachem Witztum
Kobe 2012 Long composing (H\#) 1st HM

1...Se5 2.Sd7 Seg6 3.Bd4 c4\#
1...Sg6 2.Be7 Se5 3.Sd5 c3\#

The composition and the use of white half-pin remind on L16, but the logic is much simpler.

a) $1 . . . \mathrm{Rb} 5$ 2.Bf4 Bd6 3.Be3 Be5 \#
b) 1...Bd6 2.Rf5 Rb5 3.Rf4 Re5 \#

Very clear use of interference, in an unlucky twinning form.

1...Rc5 2.Bd5 Bf4 3.Be4 Be3\#
1...Bf4 2.Rd6 Rc5 3.Rd5 Rxc4\#

Again interference, this time with somewhat brutal mate in one position.

Commendations in the order of appearance

1...Bxe4+ 2.Kxe4 Sxg4 3.Kd3 Sf2\#
1...Sxg4 2.Kxg4 Bxe4 3.Kh3 Bf5\#

1...Rh6 2.Sc6 Be2 3.Qe5 c4\#
1...Be2 2.Sc5 Rh6 3.Qe4 Rxd6\#

a) $1 . . . \mathrm{Rxd} 52 . \mathrm{Bxd} 5 \mathrm{Bxh} 43 . \mathrm{Bg} 8 \mathrm{Bf} 6 \#$
b) 1...Bxh4 2.Rxh4 Rxd5 3.Rh7 Rd8\#

Ricardo de Mattos Vieira
L19(V) Menachem Witztum
Kobe 2012 Long Composing (H\#) Comm

a) $1 \ldots . \mathrm{Sc} 62 . \mathrm{Sb} 8 \mathrm{Bd} 53 . \mathrm{Sd} 7 \mathrm{Sa} 7 \#$
b) 1...Bd5 2.Se4 Sc6 3.Sc5 Bc4\#

These works use methods I do not find appropriate for the theme, but in formal way they deserve to be in the award.

## Quick Composing Tourney Section A - Mate in 2 moves

Judge: Touw Hian Bwee (Indonesia) Proposed theme:

1. A white OR black piece " X " stands between a white line piece (Queen, Rook or Bishop) and the black King. "X" can be of any piece except pawn. Multiple combinations of this are allowed.
2. The key must give up the initial pin or white battery by moving off the pinor battery- line.
3. Set and/or try play as well as changed mates are welcome but not obligatory. The theme is free up to the composer's imagination.

7 compositions were submitted.


1. $\operatorname{Bg} 4$ ? (2.Re5\#)
1...Bd4 2.Rxd4\#; 1...Kxe4 2.Qf3\# 1...Bxe4!
2. Qc3! (2.Qe5\#)
1...Bd4 2.Re5\#; 1...Rxe4 2.Sf4\#
1...Rxc3 2.Re5\#; 1...Rd4 2.Sf4\#

Attractive open position with no white pawns combining 2 different sets of the required scheme. In the try the wBf3 unpins the wRe4, whereas in the solution this was done by bBa7. The black defense
$1 \ldots \mathrm{Bd} 4$ together with the white threat and mate 2.Re5\# in try and solution respectively, show the Dombrovskis-like paradox.


1. Qf6? (2.Qf5, Qxf3\#)
1...Rxe5 2.Qc6\# (switchback) but 1...Sd4!
2. Rd7! (2.Qxd5\#)
1...Kxe5 2.Re7\# (switchback)

A clear cut, simple but nice example with switch-back, once again with 2 different sets of the scheme. The white Pd 2 can be safely removed. Another alternative is to move black Ph4 to d4, remove the 3 white pawns d2, e3, f2 and add a white Sg 2 .

set: 1...d5 2.Sg4\#; 1...Sf2 2.Sxc4\#
sol: 1.Qc6! (2.Qe4\#)
1...d5 2.Qxc7\#
1...Sf2 2.f4\#
1...Bd5 2.Sg4\#
1...Bf5 2.Sxc4\#

Changed mates with mate transferences
(Ruchlis theme). Unfortunately the wRd1 has nothing to do in the actual play.

## Touw Hian Bwee

Jakarta, 18 Sept. 2012

## Quick Composing Tourney Section B - Helpmate in 2 moves

The theme deals with the pin of a white piece, which is explored in one of the following ways:
a) the white piece is actually pinned during the solution and the thematic pin isessential for the correctness of the solution (Example I); or
b) White / Black refuses to make the (self / direct / indirect) pinning move because in this case the pin is a negative effect and therefore it is the key effect of a dual avoidance (Example II).

1.Qa4+ K:a4 2.Sd4 S:d3 \# (Sc6 ?)
1.Qa3+ K:a3 2.Sc5 Sc6 \# (S:d3?)

1.Bc5 Kb3 2.Kd6 Q:b8 \#
1.Rd4 Ka5 2.Ke4 Q:e1 \#

I would like to thank Tadashi Wakashima for the invitation to judge this tourney and would also like to congratulate all members of the Japanese Organizing Committee of the 55th WCCC for the success of this event.

I received 10 originals in anonymous form, which are here identified by the Kings' squares in the diagram position: B01 (Kd4/Ka7), B02 (Ke3/Kh5), B03 (Kd2/ Ke5), B04 (Kh7/Kc5), B05 (Kc8/Kf4), B06 (Kg3/Kd4), B07 (Kc5/Ke5), B08 (Kd2/Kd5), B09 (Kc3/Kf5) and B10 (Ka7/Ke4).

Some remarks about the entries that could not be in the award - cooked: B04; useless pieces (and consequently useless thematic pinnings): B01 (bBg1) and B07 (bBa4 and bRg4); unnecessary thematic pinnings, although without useless pieces: B08. B10 deserves attention: the intention to double the theme and to present (avoided by pins) possible moves by wS and wR fails because one of these white pieces is obviously artificially introduced in the helpmate (and consequently the respective black pinner). In my opinion the white half-pin might be used in such a theme but reciprocal roles played by the half-pinned white pieces might be expected.

All classified entries presented the first way of dealing with the theme (see theme definition). No thematic dual avoidances among these helpmates.

B05
Kobe 2012 Quick Composing (HH) 1st Pr

a) 1.Sd8+ Qb7 2.Rc4 Q:d5 \#
b) $1 . \mathrm{Sd} 6+\mathrm{Sb} 52 . \mathrm{Rd} 4 \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{c} 3 \#$

Change of pins with indirect and direct successive unpinnings by Black. The mate is preceded by interfering moves by the bR along the fourth row. Reciprocal wS/wQ play. A very good achievement.

1.Sc3 K:d4 2.Ra3 Qh2 \#
1.Sc2 K:e2 2.Qb1 Qh8 \#
wQ's long mating moves, reciprocal roles between the bSs (anticipatory unpins / passive sacrifice) and between $\mathrm{bQ} / \mathrm{bRa} 2$ (abandon of control of lines / passive interference). I think it is unavoidable that the bQ does not hide behind the wS (as the bR does).

B08 Michel Caillaud
Kobe 2012 Quick Composing (Hit) 3rd Pr

1.Qd7 Td6 2.K:d6 Bf4 \#
1.Qg5 Bf4 2.K:f4 R:e4 \#

The thematic B1 pinnings force the white pieces to sacrifice after moves along the pin-lines, a correction of what might be random moves. It seems an original Zilahi.

1.Sc3 Sg4 2.Se5 Be3 \#
1.Sc5 Bd2 2.Sf4 Se6 \#

A less original but still correct presentation of white half-pin. There may be anticipations.

a) $1 . \mathrm{Se} 6 \mathrm{Kd} 32 . \mathrm{Rb} 5 \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{e} 3 \#$
b) 1.Sa4+ Kb3 2.Rd5 Sa3 \#

Kobe, September 20th, 2012.
Ricardo de Mattos Vieira

# 5TH ARVES Jenever Tourney－Kobe， 2012 

Judge：Marcel Van Herck

For the 5th ARVES Jenever Tourney I received 8 entries．One of them had to be rejected because not thematical．

The level of the compositions was astonishingly high．During this congress there was not enough time for me to study thoroughly all those works．Therefore I have not made a complete classification with prizes， honourable mentions and so on．I have just selected one study which to my personal feeling was the most attractive．

Many entries were clearly made with the help of a computer and not surprisingly sometimes the solution was rather obscure．However，a good study must not be too difficult and should have a point intelligible to a human player in order to be enjoyable．For me it is also preferable that a study has a natural starting position and looks like it could have happened in a game．The study which I have chosen meets all these criteria and I think it can be rightfully awarded with a bottle of jenever．

## Pavel Arestov <br> White to move and win



## 1．${ }^{\mu} \mathrm{d} 1+!$






## 4．．．${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{C} 1$ 5．

Zugzwang，black to move．
5．．．奋h2 6．．
6．徳e3？克h1＝


8．四 7 ！！

9．癸xe3！＋－

Judge：Menachem Witztum

## Theme：

In an orthodox $\mathrm{H} \# 2$ white piece＂A＂is pinned in the diagram position．Black can unpin＂A＂in his first move but it actually unpins＂A＂only in the second move．

I received 22 anonymous problems（of which 4 were not thematic）from the director Paz Einat．The level was satisfactory．The composers found 12 different ways to accomplish the＂unpin delay＂required by the theme．I had to remove 3 problems from the award since black＇s pinning piece could be removed．

Example：Menachem Witztum




Dieter Müller（Germany）
1st Prize 15th Sabra Tourney
Kobe 2012


An original and surprising problem in the way tempo moves are integrated with the theme．

1．畑g5？？2． 0 Oc 4 气d3 $\#$
1．月e3 d4 2．．c6 0 e 6\＃


Jean Haymann（Israel）
2nd Prize 15th Sabra Tourney
Kobe 2012


An interesting problem in which white must guard c3 with either the $\begin{gathered}\text { 㽞 }\end{gathered}$ and black＇s moves are accordingly determined．Anti－critical moves are combined，making a deep and beautiful problem． B 0 c6 could have been saved．

1．dxe3 8f6（Mxg7？）2．g5 亿f3\＃



A sophisticated mechanism enabling B包c6 to move without pinning WEg2．An anticipatory unpin by B ${ }^{\text {ald }} \mathrm{d} 3$ is needed．Overall，an impressive problem．



Mario Parrinello（Italy）
$1^{\text {st }}$ HM 15th Sabra Tourney
Kobe 2012


H\＃2
b） $\mathrm{bSc} 7 \rightarrow \mathrm{e} 7 \quad 3+11$
c） $\mathrm{bKd} 8 \rightarrow \mathrm{~h} 4$


 1．皆 5 ？昌xe7 2．©c8 ？？包xc6\＃
 1．算c4？亘xg3 2．$\searrow \mathrm{Dh} 5$ ？？包x5\＃

Fadil Abdurahmanovic


1．씁f6！（气b3？）営 b 8
2．©b3（sg3？）©b4\＃
1．씁 c 4 ！（気 g 3 ？）县 b 8
2． 0 g 3 （sb3？）© 0 e5\＃

 $1 . g 5$ 号e7 2．亿a 5 ＋酜xe4\＃

Mark Basisty (Ukraine) 5th HM 15th Sabra Tourney

Kobe 2012


1. g 3 ? 1. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{c} 6$ ?



Valery Kopyl (Ukraine)
$3^{\text {rd }}$ Com 15th Sabra Tourney
Kobe 2012


H\#2
b) $w \mathrm{Ph} 3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~h} 4 \quad 7+8$


Luis Miguel Martin (Spain) $1^{\text {st }}$ Com 15th Sabra Tourney

Kobe 2012




Rodolfo Riva (Italy) Com 15th Sabra Tourney

Kobe 2012


H\#2
b) bRf3=bSf3



Ricardo de Mattos Vieira (Brazil) $2^{\text {nd }}$ Com 15th Sabra Tourney

Kobe 2012


H\#2 b) wRd2=wBd2 $5+7$
a) 1. ©e2? 1. $0 \mathrm{xd} 3 \mathrm{fxe} 52.0 \mathrm{f} 2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~d} 7 \#$
b) 1. $\triangle \mathrm{f} 2$ ? 1. 0 xf 4 包xe5 $2 . \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{e} 2 \mathrm{~g} 5 \#$

Julia Vysotska (Latvia)
Com 15th Sabra Tourney
Kobe 2012


H\#2
b) $\mathrm{bSh} 3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~g} 2 \quad 5+10$
b) 1. 当xf6 (
2. gbb 7 ( ( bbb?) ) ©xf6\#




Yoel Aloni (Israel)
Com 15th Sabra Tourney
Kobe 2012

a) 1. g5 $0 \mathrm{f} 42 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{b} 2$ 气xf5
b) $1 . \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{cc}} \mathrm{c} 2$ ©f2 2.0 g 7 包b3\#

## Vodka Tourney

Judge: Andrey Selivanov
Theme: Direct mate in $2-5$, Helpmate in $2-5$, and Selfmate in $2-5$ with Avantatheme: Rectilinear game of two or more row of standing pawns on both free fields". Participans: Diyan Kostadinov (Bulgaria), Menahem Witztum (Israel), Araz Almammadov (Azerbaijan), Aleksander Feoktistov (Russia).

1.Bg4? (-2.Re6+ fe6\#); 1...e5(e6) 2.Rf2+ A Ke7 3.Bg5+ f6\#; 1...Rc7!
1.Qb1!? (- 2.Re6+ fe6 3.Qg6+ Q:g6\#); 1...e6 2.Sg4+ Ke7 3.Bg5+ f6\#; 1...e5!
1.Qd3! ((- 2.Re6+ fe6 3.Qg6+ Q:g6\#); 1...e5
2.Bg5+ B Ke6 3.Bg4+ f5\#;
1...e6 2.Sg4+ C Ke7 3.Bg5+ f6\#; (1...Rc7
2.Re6+ Be6/fe6 3.Qg6+ fg6/Q:g6\#).

Avanta (black), selfblocks on $\mathrm{g} 5 / \mathrm{g} 4$,
Pickaninny, change of white continuations, tries.

1.Be7 e3! 2.Bf6 Se7 3.d6 d4\#; 1...S:e7??
1.Kd5 f4! 2.Sf3! d3 3.Sd4 e4\#; 1.S:f3??

Avanta (white), black-white and whiteblack Umnov.

V3(V) Menachem Witztum
Kobe 2012 Vodka 3rd Pr


H\#2 b) wSe6 $\rightarrow$ f6
(7+10)
a) 1.f5 (f6?) Sc7+ 2.e6 Bd5\#
b) $1 . \mathrm{e} 5$ (e6?) Sd7+ 2.f6 Sxe5\#

Avanta (black). Mate with pin of black pawns.

1.Qf6 e4 2.Sd6 Ke3 3.e6 d4\#;
1.Ke4 e3 2.Qe5 Ke2 d5 d3\#.

Gravure (only 8 pieces). Avanta (black)
with echo-chameleon model mate.

## $10^{\text {th }}$ TZUICA TOURNEY - Kobe 2012

Theme: Help-selfmates ( $\mathbf{h s} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{n}$ ) or help-selfstalemates ( $\mathbf{h s}=\mathbf{n}$ ) where at least three units cyclically exchange their functions during the solution in at least three phases. These functions can be passive or active.

| Example 1 for Orthodox Section <br> Paz EINAT \& Ofer COMAY (Israel) <br> 1st HM Romanian Tzuica Tourney 2010 | Example 2 for Fairy Section <br> Petko PETKOV (Bulgaria) <br> Problem Paradise 2010 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

18 problems by 18 composers from 13 countries have taken part in this tourney. We had expected fewer entries, taking into account the difficulty of this year's theme. There were three helpselfstalemates this year.

The first decision was to establish eliminatory criteria.

- In the first place and according to our thematic requirement, the composition must show at least 3 different pieces cyclically exchanging their roles. The presence of two proper and convincing cycles virtually ensured a prize; similarly, if a single cycle was composed of only active effects, it would also deserve a high award.
- For an honourable mention, at least two active effects out of three would be necessary, as well as a twinless presentation.

Another decision, which was very hard to take, was to eliminate the problems having at least two unused white / black figures in at least one phase / solution. We therefore had to withdraw some otherwise very interesting compositions which would have certainly deserved a place in our final classification taking into account the difficulty of the theme. We hope the unlucky authors will be able to improve their compositions and successfully participate with them in other tournaments.

## ORTHODOX SECTION

The participation in this section seems rather disappointing: only 5 problems from 5 authors, one not thematic. We decided to exclude the single problem from the tournament featuring the complete cycle ABC-BCA-CAB/ACB-CBA-BAC because the employed strategy seemed somehow mechanical.

For the remaining three compositions we propose the following ranking:

| Petko PETKOV - Special Prize Orthodox Section Tzuica 2012 | Menachem WITZTUM - Commendation Orthodox Section Tzuica 2012 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { hs }=3.5 \quad(7+10) \mathrm{C}+ \\ \text { B: bKe3 } \rightarrow \text { h3 } \\ \text { C: bKe3 } \rightarrow \text { h4 } \end{gathered}$ <br> a) $1 . . . \mathrm{Qxb} 72 . \mathrm{Rb} 1 \mathrm{Qxb} 13 . \mathrm{d} 8=\mathrm{Q}$ Qb8 4.Qd2+ Kxd2= <br> b) $1 \ldots \mathrm{Bxd7} 2 . \mathrm{Ra} 4 \mathrm{Bxa} 43 . \mathrm{c} 8=\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{Be} 84 . \mathrm{Qg} 4+\mathrm{Kxg} 4=$ <br> c) $1 . . . \mathrm{Bxc} 72 . \mathrm{Rxa5} \mathrm{Bxa5} 3 . \mathrm{b} 8=\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{Bxc} 34 . \mathrm{Qg} 3+\mathrm{Kxg} 3=$ | $\begin{array}{cl} \text { hs\#2 } & (8+6) \mathrm{C}+ \\ \text { B: bPb4 } \rightarrow \text { h6 } \\ \text { C: bPe5 } \rightarrow \text { d4 } \end{array}$ <br> a) 1.Qxb4 Qh6 2.Sg4+ Bxg4\# <br> b) 1.Sfh5 Qb4 2.Sf5+ Bxf5\# <br> c) 1.Sgh5 Qf2 2.Qe6+ Bxe6\# |

## Special Prize: Petko PETKOV (Bulgaria)

The only composition from the orthodox section featuring two cycles, on both white and black sides:
The white thematic pieces are: $\mathrm{wPb} 7, \mathrm{wPc} 7, \mathrm{wPd} 7$ and the effects are:

- Passive annihilation at black first move
- Passive indirect selfpin after white third move
- Active promotion in Q in third white move and active sacrifice in white last move The black thematic pieces are: bQd5, bBf5 and bBe5 and the effects are:
- Active annihilation of white pawn and Rook; preventive line opening for promoted wQ
- Passive / static guard of wK flight(s)
- Passive / static guard of wK flight(s)

This solid problem deserves a prize for the convincing strategic contents used for presenting the required theme. The composer skillfully used a third pin of thematic white pawns and added an active wR sacrifice in order to ensure the soundness. Although both cycles contain more passive than active effects and the twinning comprises the movement of the black King, this is totally acceptable since it does not involve a thematic piece. The model stalemates show the author's attention to all presentation details.
However, careful readers might claim the black cycle is rather debatable, since the static guards are already arranged in the initial position and no actual cyclic effect is present during black play, as bQd5, bBf5 and bBe5 will always guard respectively g8, g6 and g7/h8 in the final positions. For this reason this composition gains a special distinction, instead of a normal one.

## Commendation: Menachem WITZTUM (Israel)

Neat presentation of the following cyclic effects for thematic pieces wQd6, wSf6 and wSg7:

- Active guard of flight square ("f4") at white first move
- Active sacrifice at white second move
- Passive pin by black Queen after black second move

The small drawback in the twinning (i.e. the black pawn blocks a flight square in the last twin) and the heady helpmate flavor don't reduce the charm of this very attractive composition.


Special Commendation: Emanuel NAVON (Israel)

We hesitated before deciding to include this composition in the award. The thematic pieces bRb1, bSb 5 and bBf 3 perform a clear cycle with rather simple motivations:

- Active preventive guard of flight
- Mate / active sacrifice
- Passive control of flight

In addition, the Duplex form with inversed play constitutes a nice enhancement to the required thematic contents. Two other pieces ( wQ and wB ) also exchange their roles in a cyclic way in three phases (i.e. $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ : active selfblock, $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{c}$ : passive guard of flight, $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{a}$ : active sacrifice / mate), although these additional changes are facilitated by the movement of white pieces in the twinning. All this is of course impressive, but there is also a high price to pay: the useless bSf2 in the first two solutions. A version using a bNh1 and without bPa5 would have partially eliminated this defect, by introducing a merely technical fairy piece, but in the end we think the author's choice was right.

## FAIRY SECTION

Out of the thirteen problems in this section, we had to eliminate seven problems for not homogenous cyclic effects or unused white / black pieces. We would particularly like to mention two composers had the same ambitious and appealing idea of cyclic interception of white pieces on the same field, but we finally decided to leave them to their authors for further improvement.

| Manfred RITTIRSCH - $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Prize Fairy Section Tzuica 2012 | Diyan KOSTADINOV - $\mathbf{2 ~}^{\text {nd }}$ Prize Fairy Section Tzuica 2012 |
| :---: | :---: |
| hs\#2 3 sol. (10+12) C+ $(1+2)$ Double Grasshoppers <br> 1.Sd7 DGexc1 2.Qxf4+ DGxf4\# <br> 1.Sa4 Qxe5 2.Rxf4+ Qxf4\# <br> 1.Sc8 Rxb4 2.DGxf4+ Rxf4\# | Half Neutrals: Leo h7, Vao h6, Nao h4 Neutral Se7 <br> Leo b4, h1; Pao c7, h2; Vao c5 <br> b) $\mathrm{bPe} 5 \rightarrow \mathrm{e} 3$ <br> c) $\mathrm{bPc} 6 \rightarrow \mathrm{~d} 2$ <br> a) 1.hnLEb1=wLE+ Ka8! 2.LEb6+ hnNAg6=bNA\# <br> b) 1.hnVAxe3=wVA Kb7! 2.VAb6+ hnLEe4=bLE\# <br> c) 1.hnNAxd2=wNA Ka7! 2.NAb6+ hnVAf8=bVA\# |

## $1^{\text {st }}$ Prize - Manfred RITTIRSCH (Germany)

A cerebral composition - the kind of problem the deeper you explore the more you enjoy it. Although the overcrowded position does not produce at all a positive first impression, after playing the solutions on the board and fully understanding the specific roles of each piece, the originality of the conception will convince even the most skeptical readers.
The thematic black pieces Qa5, Rb1 and DGe7 exchange their roles as follows:

- Static pin, already existent in the initial position
- Static battery rear piece after the capture of white pinned officer
- Active battery front piece mating on f4

Also the thematic white pieces Qe5, Rb4 and DGc1 exchange their roles:

- Active sacrifice in white second move
- Static pin, already existent in the initial position
- Passive annihilation by the black homologue piece - a matching effect which explains the author's choice for wDGc1 instead of wQc1.
First white move opens the line of bBa 7 and also ensures which continuation is possible:
- $\quad$ Sd7 is the only move that allows the access of DGe7 to cl
- Sa 4 is the only S move that does not gain control over squares e3, e5 or e7
- Sc8 is the only move that allows both the control of d2 by DGa8 (because bRf4 does not control d2, as DGf4 or Qf4) and does not gain control over d2, c3 or b4.
As stated above, the construction is full of subtle points, typical for the composer. Just to give an example: wPg 5 ensures a double check is delivered in the last solution, thwarting the defense 3.Bf2! A splendid piece of work, a worth first prizewinner!


## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Prize - Diyan KOSTADINOV (Bulgaria)

An extraordinary composition featuring two groups of pieces with cyclic play! Here the first thematic group consists of three white pieces LEb4, VAc5, NAc4:

- Active hurdle for two antibatteries (one direct and one indirect)
- Passive rear piece for indirect antibattery
- Passive rear piece for direct antibattery

The second group consists in the three third battery / pinned half neutral pieces: LEh7, VAh6, NAh4:

- Guard of flight through a specific third antibattery
- Passive pin and hurdle in the mating position
- Active Madrasi paralysis of direct white antibattery front piece.

The construction is very clever, with usage of neutral Se7 for guarding both Kings' flights and serving as a hurdle in the last solution. Although Madrasi is solely used in order to force the mating move, the potential of the other specific fairy pieces (Chinese and half neutral pieces) is better exploited. We only wonder if a twinless presentation of the idea with an additional halfmove (featuring for instance a black active sacrifice of a piece on b 1 , d 2 and e3) could be arranged.

| Frantisek SABOL - $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Honorable Mention Fairy Section Tzuica 2012 | Juraj LÖRINC - $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ Honorable Mention Fairy Section Tzuica 2012 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { hs }=2.5 \begin{array}{c} 3 \text { sols. }(3+10) \\ \text { Mars Circe } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ <br> 1...Bg5 2.Bd6 Qxd6 3.Rh4+ Rxh4= <br> 1...Rf4 2.Bg7 Bxg7 3.Rd4+ Qxd4= <br> 1...Qh1 2.Bh2 Rxh2 3.Rc5+ Bxc5= | hs\#33 sols. (7+5) C+ <br> Andernach <br> Nightrider d3  <br> 1.Bxb3=bB Be6 2.Qxb6=bQ Qe3 3.Nb4+ Bd5\#  <br> 1.Nxh5=bN Nd7 2.Bxb3=bB Ba4 3.Qh2+ Nh5\# <br> 1.Qxb6=bQ Qd6 2.Nxh5=bN Nb2 3.Be4+ Qg6\#  |

## $1^{\text {st }}$ Honorable Mention - Frantisek SABOL (Czech Republic)

The arrangement of black pieces on the eighth rank already suggest their roles: mutual batteries with each of them acting as a front piece for another: bQh8 for bRf8, bRf8 for bBd8 and bBd8 for bQh8. Each black piece actively plays in each solution - a $100 \%$ active interpretation of the required theme.
Each solution has subtle points, ensuring the uniqueness of first black move:

- Bd8 must avoid a future interference of bQ guard over g5
- Rf8 must be placed on a black square where it won't be captured by wK (f2) or won't interfere bQ (f6)
- Qh8 must leave the pre-control of square a1 and allow the capture of wB by the bR

The construction is absolutely superb and bBd 1 is ideally placed, interfering bQh1 way to al while being in the same time under bQ control. The rather crude strategy, based on the sacrifice and annihilation of white officers, although allows an economical setting, hinders a higher classification.

## $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ Honorable Mention - Juraj LÖRINC (Slovakia)

The most economical problem of the tournament and the only Meredith! The thematic pieces $\mathrm{wQg} 1, \mathrm{wBd} 5$ and wNd 3 perform all the moves in all the solutions:

- Rear battery piece after transformation in black figure
- Front battery piece after transformation in black figure
- Direct check provoking black battery play

The overall strategic content is quite very satisfactory: cycle of first two black moves and full black battery creation with direct selfpin mates. The presence of some very slight disharmonic
notes not matched in the other solutions (e.g. double check in the last solution, the line opening for wQ check in the second solution) made us, in spite of our regret, to rank this beautiful problem lower.

| Mario PARRINELLO - $\mathbf{3 r d}^{\text {rd }}$ Honorable Mention Fairy Section Tzuica 2012 | Julia VYSOTSKA - Commendation <br> Fairy Section Tzuica 2012 |
| :---: | :---: |
| hs\#2 3 sols. $(7+6) \mathrm{C}+$ <br> Anti Take \& Make <br> Nao d8, e8, f8, Pao h8 <br> 1.NAh4 gxh4(NAd2) 2.NAec4+ Qxc4(NAe8)\# <br> 1.NAb2 axb2(NAd3) 2.NA8b4+ Qxb4(NAd8)\# <br> 1.NAh6 gxh6(NAb3) 2.NAfd4+ Qxd4(NAf8)\# | hs\#2.5 $(6+9) \mathrm{C}+$ <br> b) $\mathrm{bPe} 5 \rightarrow \mathrm{~d} 5$ <br> c) $\mathrm{wBa} 3 \rightarrow \mathrm{e} 3$ <br> Chameleons Bd7, Se6, Qg4 <br> a) 1...Ra7 2.cSc5=cB Rxd7 3.cQd1=cS+ Rxd1\# <br> b) $1 . . . \mathrm{Ra} 42 . \mathrm{cBb} 5=c R \mathrm{Rxg} 43 . c S d 4=c B+R x d 4 \#$ <br> c) $1 . . . \mathrm{Ra}$ 2.cQf3=cS Rxe6 $3 . c B c 6=c R+R x c 6 \#$ |

## $3^{\text {rd }}$ Honorable Mention - Mario PARRINELLO (Italy)

Crystal clear presentation of the required theme using identical white pieces: NAd8, NAe8, NAf8

- Passive hurdle for white PA after white second move
- Active sacrifice on the mating square
- Active sacrifice allowing a specific block after black first move

The specific Anti Take\&Make effects present only at black moves and the overall somewhat schematic impression hinder a higher classification.

## Commendation - Julia VYSOTSKA (Latvia)

The third pinned white chameleon pieces $\mathrm{Se} 6, \mathrm{Bd} 7$ and Qg 4 cyclically exchange their roles:

- Active flight guard
- Passive annihilation at black second move
- Active sacrifice forcing a typical Dentist mate

Unfortunately only the presence of the twinning and a certain lack of interplay slightly mar this otherwise very interesting idea.

Vlaicu Crişan \& Eric Huber
20th September 2012, Bucharest

## 3rd Bulgarian Wine Tourney

Judge: Diyan Kostavinov
The theme of the tourney was: H\#/=23, HS\#/=2-3 problems with „Anti Take \& Make" fairy condition. Other fairy pieces and conditions are not allowed.
"Anti Take \& Make" definition: every capture ("take") must be complemented by a further step ("make" - not a capture) by the captured piece (Kings excluded), which must move from its square of vanish Judge Judge: Diyan Kostadinov

I received 41 (correct) entries by the following authors: Vlaicu Crisan, Eric Huber, Petko Petkov, Manfred Rittirsch, Julia Vysotska, Juraj Lorinc, Mario Parrinello, Emmanuel Manolas, Seetharaman Kalyan, Allan Bell, Dieter Mueller, Kostas Prentos, Pierre Tritten, Ricardo Vieira, Ofer Comay, Paz Einat, James Quah, Michel Caillaud, bernd ellighoven, Kjell Widlert, Igor Vereshchagin, Themis Argirakopoulos, Ralf Kraetschmer, Mark Erenburg, Rudolfo Riva.

The level of the tourney is very high. The ranking is as follows:

1st-4th Prize: Petko Petkov


HS\#3 b) bBf6 (5+8)
Anti Take \& Make
a) 1.Qf5+! R:f5(Qa5)! 2.e:f5(Rf1) Re1 3.f6+ S:d4(Pd5)\# [1....R:f5(Qc5)?]
b) 1.Qe5+! Bxe5(Qc5)! 2.d:e5(Bb2) Bc1 3.e6+ S:e4(Pe5)\# [1....B:e5(Qa5)?]

Excellet problem with double pseudo sacrifices of white Queen and black R\&B which follow to creation of two white batteries (Pf5/Qa5) and Pe5/Qc5) with dual-avoidance; creation of two black batteries ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{R}$ and $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{R}$ ) with typical Anti Take\&Make mates after play of white P/Q batteries and mates after P-line-closings of these batteries.

1st-4th Prize: Vlaicu Crisan \& Eric Huber


Anti Take \& Make
1.B:d7(Sb6) S:c4(Sd2) 2.B:c8(Bg4) Rd4\#
1.R:d7(Sf8) S:g6(Rg4) 2.R:d8(Rd3) Bf5\#

Very interesting play: Zilahi, echo diagonal-orthogonal, specific mates.

1st-4th Prize: Ofer Comay \& Paz Einat


HS\#3 b)Sg8-c2 (9+8)
Anti Take \& Make
a) 1.Bf5 Kg5 2.g:h8S(Rh4) B:b4(b5) 3.Bd7+ Qe5\#
b) 1.Rf5 Kg4 2.S:e1(Bh4) Rh:d8(Re8)
3.Rc5+ Qe6\#

Switchbacks, Grimshaw, self-blocks, exchange of functions between $\operatorname{Rc} 5 / \mathrm{Bd} 7$ and Rh8/Be1, excellent motivations of moves with specific Anti Tame \& Make effects.

1st-4th Prize: Kostas Prentos


HS\#3 b)-Sg1 (5+11)
Anti Take \& Make
a) $1 . \mathrm{Be} 5 \mathrm{c}: \mathrm{b} 6(\mathrm{Rg} 6) 2 . \mathrm{B}: \mathrm{h} 7(\mathrm{Sf} 6) \mathrm{Sg} 8$ ! 3.Rg2+ f:g2(Rg6) \# [3...R:h7??]
b) 1.Se3 R:g8(Bc4) 2.R:b4(Pb3) b5! 3.Be2+ f:e2(Bc4)\# [3...B:b4??]

Difficult play with reciprocal battery creation. The rear piece of the battery must be incarcerated in order to make its capture illegal. On the mating move, the black pawn captures the front piece of the battery, which must return to neutralize the battery check. Nice specific mates.

5th Prize: Ricardo Vieira

1.Q:h5(Bf3) Bb7 2.B:e2(e4)! [S:e2?] Bf2\#
1.Q:h4(Bf2) Bb6 2.S:e2(e3)! [B:e2?] Bf3\#

Excellent fairy play, specific motivations of moves, dual avoidance and nice harmony between the solutions.

6th Prize: Mark Erenburg


H\#3 b)Re8-e7 (2+5)

## Anti Tane \& Make

a) $1 . \mathrm{Sf}: \mathrm{e} 2(\mathrm{e} 4) \mathrm{e} 5+2 . \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{e} 5(\mathrm{e} 6) \mathrm{e} 73 . \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{e} 7(\mathrm{Qe} 8)$ Qg6\#
b) $1 . S d: e 2(e 3) \mathrm{e}: f 4(\mathrm{Sg} 6) 2 . S e: f 4(f 5) \mathrm{f}: \mathrm{g} 6(\mathrm{Sh} 8)$ 3.Sf:g6(g7) g:h8B(Sf7)\#

Very original and difficult realization of specific double Excelsior.

7th Prize: Michel Caillaud


Anti Take \& Make

1. $\mathrm{Qd} 7 \mathrm{Rb}: \mathrm{c} 1(\mathrm{Sb} 3) 2 . \mathrm{b}: \mathrm{c} 8 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{Qd} 8)+$ a:b4(Rb7)\#,
1.Qb8 Rd:c1(Sd3) 2.b:a8R(Sc7)+e:d4(Ba7)\#

Nice dual avoidance effects and dynamical specific fairy play.

Special Prize: Juraj Lorinc


## Anti Take \& Make

1...Rf3 2.Ke5 Rf6 3.Sd5 d4\#
1...d:c3(Sb5) 2.Sc5 Re6 3.Sd4 c4\#
1...R:c3(Sb5) 2.Se:c3(Rb3) R:b5(Sd4)+ 3.Kc4 d3\#

An amazing task: threefold chameleon echo of the same Anti T\&M specific checkmate!

1st Hon. Mention: Petko Petkov


Anti Take \& Make
a)1.Rf6 e:f6(Re6) 2.Re2 Rc3 3.K:d4(d3)+ d:e2(Re4)\#
b) $1 . \mathrm{Rb} 2 \mathrm{Rd} 32 . \mathrm{B}: \mathrm{a} 7(\mathrm{a} 6) \mathrm{e} 6+3 . \mathrm{K}: \mathrm{c} 4(\mathrm{c} 3)+$
$\mathrm{c}: \mathrm{b} 2(\mathrm{Rb} 7) \#$

## 2nd Hon. mention: Mario Parrinello



H\#3 b)Re8-f8 c)Re8-g8 (2+9)
Anti Take \& Make
a) $1 . \mathrm{B}: \mathrm{f} 3(\mathrm{f} 4) \mathrm{f}: \mathrm{e} 5(\mathrm{e} 4) 2 . \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{e} 5(\mathrm{e} 6) \mathrm{e} 7$ 3.R:e7(Qe8) Qa4\#
b) $1 . \mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{f} 3(\mathrm{f} 4) \mathrm{f} 52 . \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{f} 5(\mathrm{f} 6) \mathrm{f} 73 . \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{f} 7(\mathrm{Qf} 8)$ Q:b4(b3)\#
c) $1 . \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{f} 3(\mathrm{f} 4) \mathrm{f}: \mathrm{g} 5(\mathrm{~g} 4) 2 . \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{g} 5(\mathrm{~g} 6) \mathrm{g} 7$ 3.R:g7(Qg8) Qa2\#

3rd Hon. mention: Manfred Rittirsch

hs\#2 b)Rotate $90^{\circ}$ clockwise
Anti Take\&Make
a) $1 . \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{d} 8(\mathrm{Qf6})$ ? Qf3+ $2 . \mathrm{e}: \mathrm{f} 3(\mathrm{Qf4} 4)+$ ? ?
1.R:h5(Rf5) Rf3+ 2.e:f3(Rf4)+! R:f3(Pf4)\# (S:f3??)
b) $1 . R: e 1(\operatorname{Re} 3)$ ? $R c 3+2 . d: c 3(R c 4)+R$ :c3(Pc4)+ 3.Kd2!
1.R:h5(Qf3) Qc3+ 2.d:c3(Qc4)+! Q:c3(Pc4)\# (S:c3??)

4th Hon. mention: Mario Parrinello


HS\#3 b)Sa3-a5 (10+5)

## Anti Take \& Make

a) 1.Ke4 S:b1(Rf1) 2.Rf4 Rg7 3.Kf3+ S:d2(d4)\#
b) 1.Ke6 S:c6(Le8) 2.Bf7 Rh8 3.Kd7+ S:e5(e6)\#

5th Hon. mention: Julia Vysotska


H\#2.5 b)Sg3-c5 (4+9)
Anti Take \& Mate
a) $1 . . . \mathrm{Be} 72 . \mathrm{Sf} 5 \mathrm{Bc} 53 . \mathrm{b}: \mathrm{c} 5(\mathrm{Bd} 4) \mathrm{S}: c 5(\mathrm{c} 4) \#$
b) 1...Bc7 2.Sd3 Bg3 3.h:g3(Bf4) S:g3(g2)\#

6th Hon. mention: Pierre Tritten

1.Q:g7(Qg8) Q:g7(Qc3) 2.Qc6 Qe5\#
1.R:g7(Qg8) Q:g7(Rc7) 2.Rc5 Qd7\#

7th Hon. mention: Manfred Rittirsch


H\#2 2 sol. (4+10)

## Anti Take\&Make

1.Rb:c1(Se2) (Rg:c1? ... 3.R:b3(Rb6)!) Ba7!
2.S:e6(Rb6) Rb3\#
1.Rg:c1(Sd3) (Rb:c1? ... 3.R:g5(Be7)!) Re8!
2.S:c5(Be7) Bg5\#

8th Hon. mention:
James Quah \& Michel Caillaud


H\#3 2 sol (4+6)

## Anti Take \& Make

1.S:f6(Bh4) Sd4 2.Sf:d5(Re5) [Sc:d5?] Re4\# 1.S:d5(Rg5) Sd2 2.Sd:f6(Bd4) [Se:f6?] Be3\#

9th Hon. mention: Themis Argirakopoulos

h\#2 b)Pe7-d6 (4+14)
Anti Take\&Make
a) 1.a:b6(Sc8) S:e7(e6) 2.Q:g2(Rd2)+ R:d7(d5)\#
b) 1.a:b6(Sc4) $\mathrm{S}: \mathrm{e} 5(\mathrm{Bd} 4) 2 . \mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{g} 2(\mathrm{Rg} 8)+$ B:d6(d5)\#

10th Hon. Mention: Themis Argirakopoulos

hs\#3 b)Rf6-h7 (5+11)
Anti Take\&Make
a) $1 . \mathrm{Rb} 4 \mathrm{Rxc} 1(\mathrm{Ba} 3)+2 . \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Sd} 83 . \mathrm{Re} 4+$ fxe4(Rb4)\#
b) 1.Kh2 Rxc1(Be3) 2.Re2 Sd6 3.Bg5+ hxg5(Be3)\#

11th Hon. Mention: Seetharaman Kalyan


H\#2 b) Bb4-c4 (3+5)
Anti Take \& Make
a) $1 . \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{d} 6(\mathrm{Pd} 7) \mathrm{Kb} 82 . \mathrm{Sc} 8$ ! $\mathrm{d}: \mathrm{c} 8 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Sb} 6) \#$ !
b) $1 . \operatorname{Se} 7 \mathrm{~d} 6: \mathrm{e} 7(\mathrm{Sg} 6) 2 . \mathrm{Sf} 8 \mathrm{e}: \mathrm{f8B}(\mathrm{Sh} 7!) \#!$

Com: Mario Parrinello


H\#2 b)Pa7-c7 c)Pa7-e7 (4+9)
Anti Take \& Make
a) $1 . \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{a} 7(\mathrm{Qa} 8)+\mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{a} 7(\mathrm{Rg} 7) 2 . \mathrm{Se}: \mathrm{d} 5(\mathrm{Bb} 3)$ Qf2\#
b) $1 . \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{c} 7(\mathrm{Qc} 8)+\mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{c} 7(\mathrm{Rg} 7) 2 . \mathrm{Sf}: \mathrm{d} 5(\mathrm{Bb} 3)$ Qg3\#
c) $1 . \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{e} 7(\mathrm{Qe} 8)+\mathrm{Q}: \mathrm{e} 7(\mathrm{Rg} 7) 2 . \mathrm{R}: \mathrm{d} 5(\mathrm{Bb} 3)$ Qh4\#

Com: bernd ellighoven \& Kjell Widlert


HS\#3 b)Rh6-a3 (9+5)
Anti Take \& Make
a) $1 . \mathrm{Rg} 5+\mathrm{e} 52 . \mathrm{Rb} 6 \mathrm{Rd} 23 . \mathrm{Bd} 4+\mathrm{e}: \mathrm{d} 4(\mathrm{Be} 5) \#$
b) 1.Ra4 Re1+ 2.Kd2 Rd8 3.d4+ R:d4(Pd5)\#

## Com: Rudolfo Riva



HS\#3 2 sol (4+3)

## Anti Take \& Make

1.Sb5 Qb3 2.Ke4 Rf5 3.d3+ Q:d3(Pd4)\#
1.Sc8 Q:b4(Pb5) 2.Sb6+ Kc5 3.d4+ Q:d4(Pd5)\#

## Com: Dieter Mueller



Spec. com: Igor Vereshchagin


H\#2 2 sol (1+2)
Anti Take \& Make
1.f1S Rg8 2.Sh2 Rg1\# (3.K:g1??)
1.f1R Ra2 2.Rg1 Rh2\# (3.K:h2??)

I thank very much all participants!!

## 12th Japanese Sake Tourney

Theme: H\#1, Double-Step Chess. Any fairy pieces and/or conditions areallowed. Zero position is not allowed.

Judge: Tadashi Wakashima

Definition:
Double-Step Chess: In each turn, a player makes two consecutive moves with the same piece. Captures can be made only in the second step of the moves. Check means a threat to capture the opponent's $K$ in the second step of the next turn. A player in check must remove the check in the first step of his turn. A player may never move his King into check, not even on the first step.

A player can castle in the first step. And the second step after that must be made either the K or the R .

En passant is permitted if when a $P$ makes a doublestep in the first step. The opponent captures the P on his second step of the next pair.

I received 14 entries. The standard is exceedingly high, despite the apparent weirdness of this new condition.


1. Sd4/Sf5 b8=Q/Qxd6\#
(1...b8=S/Sc6+? 2.Sd4/Sxc6!)
2. Se4/Sg5 b8=S/Sc6\#
(1...b8=Q/Qxd6+? Se4/Sxd6!)

Black Se6 and Sf6 constitute a halfpin along the L-shaped line e2-e6-g6. When one of the knights moves, the other knight is pinned. But the ramdom moves of these knights give check to wK from Bd7 or Rf7, so Black must close the checking line (Bd7-g4-h5; Rf7-f5-h5) in the second step. Dual avoidance is harmoniously incorporated. A magnificent problem.

a) $1 . \mathrm{Bd} 2 / \mathrm{Bb} 4 \mathrm{Kc} 2 / \mathrm{Kc} 3 \#$
b) $1 . \mathrm{Rg} 3 / \mathrm{Rxa} 3+\mathrm{Ka} 2 / \mathrm{Kb} 3 \#$

Sa5 guards b2 and d2, and also Pb5 guards c3. Therefore, wK cannot capture the dark-square Bishop on these squares. How can White dispose of the bothersome Bishop (if you remove wK in the diagram position, Black can defend Bf1/Bh6 etc.)? In the solution, wK can move to c 3 after B comes to b4 (selfblock!) and cut the Bishop line. The slight drawback here is that in b) there is no selfblock effect. Anyway,
another superb problem.


Composer: (1\# can be considered as H\#1 with setplay only ??!)
a) $1 . \mathrm{Sc} 6 / \mathrm{Se} 5 \#(1.0-0$ ?? illegal)
b) $1.0-0 / R e 1 \#$ (1.Sc6?? selfcheck)

White captures:
3 on black squares: b2-b4xa5, c2-c3xb4, d2-d4xc5.

1 on white square: b4-b5xa6 (missing bSg8).

Black Pawns e and g must have promoted. If wK didn't move, with constraint of missing black pieces captured on black squares, only possibility is exd1=R! (for example with wBc1 avoiding check).

3 Rooks were captured on black squares! Black captures:
*g7-g6xf5; f5-f4xe3 (ep)!; e3-e2xd1=R (2 times)
*c7-c6xb5 (after b4-b5xa6)
*h7-h6xBg5 (only possibility for wBc1; other captures except en passant are on white squares).
bPe 7 cannot move before e 2 -e 4 -e 5 for en passant.

Before 1st capture by Pawn g7-g6xf5 is played, every Pawn is still on original
square!
Only possible object of capture is wSb1, but this is illegal in a). g7-g6!! selfcheck.

In b), wS capture beforehand bBc 8 (with bSd6 avoidng check) then Qc8xb8, Kd8-c8!! And g7-g6xSf5 becomes possible (again with bSd 6 avoiding check).

Amazingly deep analysis. Hat off to Michel!


1. e5/exd4 (ep) d8=Q/Qxd4\#

Tries: 1.b5/bxc4 (ep) d8=Q/Qd4\#?? illegal

1. f5/fxe4 (ep) d8=Q/Qd4\#?? illegal
1.e5/exf4 (ep) d8=Q/Qd4\#?? illegal

Composer: Knights are colour-bound pieces in Double-step Chess. Black has two Knights on dark-square. One of them is a promoted piece. White has captured a Knight on light-square and a Rook on dark-square.
wPb2 and wPg2 has never moved.
wBa5 has not moved last because of bQa4.
wKc8 has not moved last because of bBc7.

White's last move is not e6/exSd7, c4/ cxSd5, e4/exSd5 nor f4/fxRg5.

Therefore the last move must be d4/d5.

Much more light-hearted than the previous special prize winner, but its logic is clear-cut and enjoyable.


1. Bd4/Ba7 Bb4/Bd6\#
2. Qf8/Qh8 Bc3/Be5\#

Composer: In the diagram position, a wB is double pinned. A black piece makes a double-step move: it hides away and unpins the wB, which is still pinned by the other black piece. The wB moves along the remaining pin-line, pins its pinner and checkmates.

Notice how black Q/B is pinned in the mating position: 2.Qg5?? in a) and 2.Bg5?? in b). Well constructed along the double pin-lines which are specific to this condition.


1. Sf7/Sh6 Sg6/Sf4\#
(1. Se6/Sd8,Sf8? Sg6/Sf4+ 2.Se6/Sxf4!)
2. Sf1/Sh2 Sf7/Sd6\#
(1. Sf5/Sh4,Sh6? Sf7/Sd6+ 2.Sf5/Sxd6!)

Composer: Unpin of Sh8 with unguard of one square on a future line of check.

An elegant presentation of dual avoidance. The tries 1.Sf7/Sh6 Sf7/Se5+? 2.Kc3/Kxd3! 1.Sf7/Sh6 Sg6/Se7+? 2.Kc5/ Kxb5! 1.Sf1/Sh2 Sf7/Sxg5+? 2.Ke3/Kxd3! are almost thematic in a way (notice how these king walks are only refutations).

Commendations without order:


1. $\mathrm{Bb} 5 / \mathrm{Ba} 6 \mathrm{~b} 4 / \mathrm{b} 5 \#$
2. f2/f1=B Bd3/Be2\#
3. g3/g2 Be4/Bxf3\#

Three-fold creation of space behind wB, which is one of the specific strategies in this condition.

SA07
Kjell Widlert Kobe 2012 Sake Comm


H\#1 2 sol (6+10)
Double-step Chess

1. Rg6/Re6 2.d6/d7\#
2. Bh5/Bf3 2.d4/dxe5\#

The main idea is the same with that of SA06, this time with mutual interference of black $R$ and $B$.

## SA09 Diyan Kostadinov

 Kobe 2012 Sake Comm

Double-step Chess
Eiffel chess (type S-B-R-Q-S)
Royal Pawns h5, d2

Try: 1.rPd1 $=\mathrm{rS} / \mathrm{rSb} 2(\mathrm{c} 3) \mathrm{rPh} 6-\mathrm{h} 7 \#$ ?? This is intended as mate from pre-promoted Royal Queen: rPh8=rQ/rQxb2(c3). Then Black also employs this pre-paralysis: $2 . \mathrm{h} 2-\mathrm{h} 1=\mathrm{R}$ ! Play: 1.RPd1=rR!/rRa1 rPh6-h7\# Preparalysis from Royal Bishop: RPh8=rB/ rBxa1. rRd4 in the second step does not work because it gives check to white Royal Pawn.

## Champagne Tourney

Theme: The long travel (guess how idea of this theme came to me...)

The Tourney is divided in 2 sections (with separate awards) :
A. Proof Games
B. Any other kind of Retro problems.

Fairy conditions allowed in both sections.
Judge: Michel Caillaud

Section A
10 problems by 6 composers were received.

1.c4 e6 2.Qa4 Qf6 3.Qc6 Qf3 4.exf3 b6 5.Bd3 Ba6 6.Bg6 Bb5 7.d3 Ba4 8.Bd2 Bd1 9.Bb4 Be2 10.Sd2 Bf1 11Rc1 B×g2 12.Rc3 Bf1 13.Ra3 Be2 14.Sf1 Bd115.Se2 Ba4 16.Rg1 Bb5 17.Rg4 Ba6 18.Seg3 Bc8 19.Ra6 b5 20.Rb6 Sa6 21.Rb8 h6 22.R×c8+ A clear winner.

After a far-sighted introduction (sharp and short; the mark of happy few: Satoshi, Gianni, ...) everything goes so easily (in appearance) with clockwork precision. As a result, black white-squared Bishop is the only piece that can capture wPg2 and perform a 14 moves travel before being
captured on its original square. Bravo!

1.d4 Sf6 2.Bh6 g×h6 3.g4 Bg7 4.Bg2 0-0 5.Bd5 Kh8 6.Bb3 Sg8 7.d5 Bc3+ 8.b×c3 Kg7 9.Qd3 Kf6 10.Qb5 Ke5 11.c4 Kd4 12.Sd2 Kc3 13.Se4+ Kb2 14.Kd2 K×a1 15.Kc3 Kb1 16.Kb4 Kc1 17.Ka5 Kd1 18.c3+ Ke1 19.Bd1 Kf1 20.Sh3+ Kg2 21.Sf4+ K×h1 22.Sg3+ Kg1 23.Sh1 Kf1

According to Winchloe, a complete diagonal by a King is a novelty. The trick to start from h8 is nice. Travel on the 1st rank is a valuable thematical addition. I wish the composer could improve it to make a straight line (f1-g1-h1) in order to produce a more striking visual effect (even if with less thematical moves).

1.h4 d5 2.Rh3 Bf5 3.Rb3 e6 4.Rb6 a×b6 5.Sc3 Ra3 6.Se4 Rc3 7.d×c3 d×e4 8.Qd6 Be7 9.Qh2 Qd5 10.Bf4 Qa5 11.Kd2 Bg5 12.Ke3 Sf6 13.Kd4 c5+ 14.Ke5 0-0 15.Kd6 Tc8 16.Ke7 Tc6 17.Kd8

I found in Winchloe 2 travels in straight line by a King between 1st and 8th rank (Hotoshi Yanami Problem Paradise 1998; Rustan Ubaidullaev Phénix 2008). This zigzag travel is also a novelty. The fact that the moves are consecutive and without capture reinforce esthetic value.

1.Sf3 d5 2.Se5 d4 3.f3 d3 4.Kf2 Qd4+ 5.Kg3 Qc3 6.d×c3 d2 7.Qe1 d1=Q 8.Bg5 Qd8 9.Sd7 h6 10.Kh3 h×g5+ 11.Qh4 Rh6 12.g3 Ra6 13.Bg2 Ra3 14.Rd1 a5 15.Rd6 a4 16.Sd2 Ra5 17.Ra6 b6 18.Ra8 Ba6 19Rh1 Bd3 20.Sf1 Sa6 21.Sb8 Qd7+

A circular by black Pd7 performing a Pronkin "en passant"; an original touch. Additional long travels by wRh1 and wSg1, though indeed thematical, make the final product not so unique as the previous ones.

1.d3 e6 2.d4 Bc5 3.d5 Se7 4.d6 0-0 5.d×e7 f5 6.e8=R Qh4 7.Re7 Rf6 8.Rf7 Rg6 9.Rf6 Rg4 10.Rg6 Rb4 11.Rg3 g5 12.Ra3 Kg7 13.R×a7 Sa6 14.R×a6 Ra7 15.Rd6 c6 16.Rd2

A long circuit by white Pd 2 , with tempo. Neatly done. Compared to 2nd HM, the circuit is longer (but also with captures) but the promoted piece is appeared, which prevents a higher distinction.

C6 Peter VAN DEN HEUVEL
Kobe 2012 Champagne 2' Commendation

1.a4 a5 2.Ra3 Ra6 3.Rg3 Rh6 4.c3 Rh3 5.Qb3 h5 6.Qe6 fxe6 7.Kd1 Kf7 8.Kc2 Kf6 9.Kd3 Ke5 10.Ke3 Kd5 11.Kf4 Kc4 12.Kg5 Kb3 13.Kg6 Kc2 14.Kf7 Qe8+ 15.K×e8 Kd1 16.Kd8 Ke1 17.K×c8 K×f1 18.Kd8 Ke1 19.Ke8 Kd1 20.Kf7 Kc2

Long travels by both Kings were subject of 18 th (and unique) Thematic Tourney of the Retro Mailing List in 1997.

Similar problems than this one were then composed, but I found no exact anticipation of this neat production.

1.c4 b6 2.Qa4 Bb7 3.Qa6 B×g2 4.e4 B×e4 5. Qc8 $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{b} 16 . \mathrm{Bd} 3 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{a} 27 . \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{h} 7 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{c} 4$ 8.Ra6 B×a6 9.d3 Bxc8 10.Bh6 g5 11.B×f8

A round trip by black Bc8 with 8 moves, including 7 captures. Precicely done. I think the 3 last halfmoves are not useful.

1.a4 h5 2.Ra3 h4 3.Rg3 h3 $4 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{g} 7 \mathrm{~h} \times \mathrm{g} 2$ $5 . \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{g} 8 \mathrm{~g} \times \mathrm{h} 1=\mathrm{R} 6 . \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{h} 8 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{g} 1$ 7.Rh3 Rg8 8.Bg2 Rh8

A Pronkin Rook. By nature, a Pronkin is a long travel by a Pawn. Many have been produced. In this one, guessing the theme from the diagram is not obvious.

Section B

5 problems by 4 composers were received. 2 were versions of the same idea.


Black moves first (White can castle if and only if it is Black's turn to move)
1.Kf7B Bb1B 2.Ke8A Ba2A 3.Kd8B Bb1B 4.Kc7A Ba2A 5.Kb8B Bb1B 6.Ka7A Ba2A 7.Ka6B Bb1B 8.Kb5A Ba2A 9.Kb4B Bb1B 10.Kc3A Ba2A 11.Kd4B Bb1B 12.Ke3A Ba2A 13.Ke4B Bb1B+ 14.Kd4A Ba2A 15.Kc3B Bb1B 16.Kb4A Ba2A 17.Kb5B Bb1B 18.Ka6A Ba2A 19.Ka7B Bb1B 20.Kb8A Ba2A 21.Kc7B Bb1B 22.Kd8A Ba2A 23.Ke8B Bb1B 24.Kf7A Ba2A 25.Kg6B 0-0B 26.Kf7A+ Kf2A 27.Ke8B Ke3B 28.Kd8A Kd3A 29.Kc7B Kc4B 30.Kb8A Kb5A 31.Ka7B Ka4B 32.Ka6A Bb1B

The study of castling right and who is to move according to the states of the pieces on board in Alice-Chess has already been explored by René J. Millour. However, this is a colourful exploitation. Analysis of the 2 positions and the number of moves between them proves that 0-0 has to be played. Analysis of position A proves that black is to play in A if 0-0 is legal. Black
king performs a first travel: a circuit to lose a tempo on e4 (without dual thanks to Bishop b1-a2) and change his state on g6 in order to interfere with Rg8B and allow 0-0. Then both Kings travel towards their first position.

## C9 Marco BONAVOGLIA <br> Kobe 2012 Champagne Commendation


e2xRd3 has taken place when black Pawn was on d5

Ke1-e2-e3-d4-c3-b3-a2-b1-a1
wK needs to triangulate in order to change place with wR which was captured on a2.

> A neat "retro for everybody" in an attractive position. The minimal path of wK is significantly longer than the optical shortest (9 moves instead of 4).

－Solutions should be handed－in to the organizers by Thursday 20th of September，18：00 hrs．
－解答は9月20日18時までに実行委員に提出すること。

## Q1

Substitute a digit into each letter and fill a digit into each box to make the following equation true. Different letters represent different digits. The leftmost digit of each row must not be 0 .


Substitute a digit for each piece to make the following equation true. Different pieces represent different digits. The leftmost digit of each row must not be 0 .


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi=(\quad) \hat{B}=(\quad \boldsymbol{B}=(\quad)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitute these digits into the next problem (2B).

Fill a digit into each box to make the following equation true. The leftmost digit of each row must not be 0 .

## SAM LOYD <br> 1878



SUDOKU PUZZLE－The blank spaces must be filled in so that the digits 1－9 appear once only in each row，column and $3 \times 3$ box．

| deb | Mray | 勾 | 8 | 6 |  | 鮧 | 宔 | $\dot{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |  | 8 |
|  | 7 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | 9 | 2 |  | 6 | 8 |  |  |
|  |  | 8 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |
|  |  | 2 |  | 7 |  | 1 |  |  |
|  | 9 | 5 |  |  |  | 2 | 4 |  |
|  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| 1 |  |  | 7 | 2 | 9 |  |  | 5 |

$\hat{B}=(\quad) \stackrel{N i n}{\theta}=(\quad)=(\quad)=(\quad)$
$\hat{\theta}=(\quad) \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{t}}=(\quad)=\boldsymbol{B}=(\quad)$
Substitute these digits into the next problem（3B）．

Fill a digit into each box to make the following equation true. The leftmost digit of each row must not be 0 .



## 10502 15352 1434 1557 $+\quad 151$ 55555

SAM LOYD
1878


| 8 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 9 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 8 |
| 9 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| 5 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 3 |
| 7 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 2 |
| 3 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| 6 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 2 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 1 |
| 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 5 |

SAM LOYD
1869


1

4

## 63812


MATE IN 3
$(4+5)$


## WCCC 2012

 Kobe，Japanby Masaya Nakamura

－Solutions should be handed－in to the organizers by Thursday 20 th of September，18：00 hrs．
－解答は9月20日18時までに実行委員に提出すること。

## Q 1

1

## CAN YOU GUESS THE GAME SCORE?

"I saw a strange game yesterday."
"Sounds interesting. Would you tell me more?"
"White checkmated the Black king on his 6th move.
When the game ended, six knights were on the board."

Q 2
Q 3
"I saw a surprising game yesterday. The game started 1. e4,
Then White played only to the fourth rank and his fourth move mated Black!"
"Frankly, I'm not surprised. The other day I played only to the third rank and my fifth move checkmated Black."

## Q 4

## CAN YOU GUESS THE GAME SCORE?

"I don't know the result of the club championship game yesterday. Did you watch the game?"
"Yes, I did. But I can only recall that the challenger played white and won with 5. Qxe4\#"

[^0]
## Q 6

## CAN YOU GUESS THE GAME SCORE?

"I saw a strange game yesterday."
"Again? How strange?"
"The game was ended by checkmate, and all White's and Black's moves in the game were to one of three squares."

## Q 7

## CAN YOU GUESS THE GAME SCORE?

"Do you know the result of the club championship game yesterday?"
"No. I dropped in at the club yesterday for only few minutes. When I saw the game, I was told that White was thinking his third move, and I couldn't identify the order of some moves. Then I left without watching White's third move."
"You should have stayed a little longer. Actually the game ended very soon. Black's fourth move checkmated White."
"Really? Now I can identify all the moves of the game."
"I am bored. How about playing chess?"
"I'd love to, Your Majesty."
"I'll play White, and only make a round-trip of this knight between this square and its original square. I'm sure you know that I hate losing in any game." "Yes, of course, Your majesty."
...Then the game started. And ...
"Look! This is just my 12th move and checkmate! Record this position for future reference."
"As you wish, Your Majesty."

However the retainer forgot to do as $h$ was ordered.
Please find out the position on behalf of him.
"Are you interested in solving a chess puzzle?"
"Sure! Let's show it."
"OK. Here I have six cards. One player choose a game score and writes its first three moves of White and Black on the cards.One move on one card, following common Algebraic notation, and don't write when and which side did the move.Then put the cards on a desk face down.Another player turns a card face up, then infers all six moves. If he can't, pick another card and try again.
"Would you show me an example?"
"OK. How about this? The first card shows 'g5'. You can't infer other moves. The second card is 'Qxglt'. You can infer that the game may be 1. g4 e6 2. g5 Qxg5 3. Bh3 Qxgl+. But still you're not sure the first move of Black is either e6 or e5. If the third card is 'e6', you can infer all moves as described above."
"I got it. In order to infer from only one card, I need to pick up the Black's third move at least, and need more luck."
"Do you think so? Ok, let's try. I'll choose a game score and you'll infer."
(Then the cards were ready and one card was picked up.)
"...Oh, I was wrong. I can infer all six moves from this card, but it doesn't show Black's third move!"

The members of 'Chess Detective Club' were playing a detection game.
The leader of the club chose a game score and wrote its first six single moves (First three move of White and three of Black) one by one on six cards.
Then he dealt the cards face down to six other members, one card for one member.

[^1]
## $\int$ Solution Chess Detection Puzzies

Q 1

1. h4 f5 2.h5 f4 3.h6 f3 4.hxg7 fxe2 5.gxh8=N exf1=N 6.Qh5\#



Q 3 1. e3 f6 2.Qf3 Kf7 3.Qh3 Kg6
4.Bd3+ Kg5 5.Nf3\#




## Q 5

1.Nc3 d5 2.Nxd5 b6 3.Nxb6 Nd7 4.Nxd7 e5
5.Nxe5 g6 6.Nxg6 Ne7 7.Nxe7 Rg8 8.Nxg8 h6 9.Nxh6 f510.Nxf5 Qd4 11.Nxd4 Be6 12.Nxe6 Bc5 13.Nxc5 a6 14.Nxa6 Rb8 15.Nxb8 c6 16.Nxc6


Q2 $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. e4 f6 2.d4 Kf7 3.Bc4+ Kg6 } \\ & \text { 4.Qg4\# }\end{aligned}$

 5

Q 4 1.d4 e5 2.Qd3 Ke7
3.Bg5+ Ke6 4.Be7 e4 5.Qxe4\#


## Q6 $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.e4 d5 2.exd5 Qxd5 } \\ & \text { 3.Ke2 Qe4\# }\end{aligned}$ 3.Ke2 Qe4\#



6

$$
5
$$

$$
4
$$

## $\{$ Solution Chess Detection Puzzies

$Q 7$ 1.f4 f6 $2 . f 5$ e5 (Diagram left) $3 . f$ xe6 ep f5 4.g4 Qh4\# (Diagram right)


Q 8 8宣安


3

$Q 9$ l.e4 f5 2.Qf3 fxe4 3.Qxf8+Kxf8 The move on the card picked up was "Qxf8+".
The person can identify all the move before the position, and can tell that next move must be 3...Kxf8.



Example of the proof game.
1.Nh3 e6 2.Ng1 Bd6
3.Nh3 Bxh2 4.Ng1 Ke7
5.Nh3 Kf6 6.Ng1 Kg5
7.Nh3+ Kh4 8.Ng1 g5
9.Nh3 g4 10.Ng1 Qg5
11.Nh3 Bg1 12.Nxg1\#

Q10 1.c3 d6 2.Qb3 Be6 3.Kd1 Bxb3+ "3...Bxb3+" (Diagram 10).


## Arithmetic Puzzles Result

name/score

Tato Gurgenidze 3
Marco Klasinc 3
Naoki Matsuzaki 3
Aleksander Mista 3
Michael Pfannkuche 3
Rainer Staudte 3
Boris Tummes 3
Maki Yoshioka 3
Arno Zude 3
(nameless) 3
Bjorn Enemark 2
Peter van den Heuvel 2
Martinas Limontas 2
Andy Ooms 2
Vidmantas Satkus 2
(Bold letters indicate those who will receive prizes.)

## Chess Detection Puzzles Result

```
name/score
```

Martinas Limontas ..... 10
Vidmantas Satkus ..... 10
Maki Yoshioka ..... 10
Michel Caillaud 9
Naoki Matsuzaki 9
Boris Tummes ..... 9
Malco Bonavoglia ..... 8
Ofer Comay ..... 8
Axel Gilbert ..... 8
James Quah ..... 8
Hitoshi Yanami ..... 8
Arno Zude ..... 7
Bjorn Enemark ..... 6
Peter van den Heuvel ..... 6
Akira Uchida ..... 6
Alexander Kostka ..... 5
2 problems are cooked:
Q8 1.Nh3 e6 2.Ng1 Bd6 3.Nh3 Bxh2 4.Ng1
Ne7 5.Nh3 0-0 6.Ng1 Kh8 7.Nh3 Rg8

```8.Ng1 h5 9.Nh3 h4 10.Ng1 h3 11.Nxh3 Bg112.Nxg1\# (pointed by many)
```

Q10 1.f3 e5 2.Kf2 Qh4+ 3.Ke3 Qd4\#

```(pointed by Michel Caillaud)
```


## Solving Show



3rd place


機器製作協力：
香川高等専門学校電子制御工学科 谷口竜輝

# Maxime Vachier－Lagrave vs．Yoshiharu Habu Chess \＆Shogi Friendly Match 

White ：Yoshiharu HABU
Black ：Maxime Vachier－Lagrave

1．e4 c5 2．Nf3 e6
3．d4 cxd4 4．Nxd4 Nc6
5．Nc3 Qc7 6．Be2 a6
7．O－O Nf6 8．Be3 Bb4
9．Na4 Be7 10．Nxc6 bxc6
11．Nb6 Rb8 12．Nxc8 Qxc8
13．Bd4 c5 14．Be5 Rb6
15．b3 d6 16．Bb2 Nxe4
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 17．} \mathrm{Bxg} 7 \mathrm{Rg} 8 & \text { 18．Bb2 Bf6 }\end{array}$
19．Bxf6 Nxf6 20．c4 Ke7
21．Re1 a5 22．Qd3 a4
23．Rab1 Qa6 24．bxa4 Rgb8
25．Rb3 Qxa4 26．Reb1 Rxb3
27．axb3 Qa2 28．g3 Rb6
29．Bd1 h5 30．Bc2 Qa8
31．Qc3 Ng4 32．Ra1 Ra6
33．Rxa6 Qxa6 34．Bd1 Qa2
35．Bxg4 hxg4 36．Qe3 Kd7
37．h4 h3 38．Qf3 Qb1
39．Kh2 Qf5 40．Qxf5 exf5
41．Kxh3 d5 42．Kg2 d4
43．Kf3 Kc7 44．Ke2 Kb6
45．Kd3 Ka5 0－1

手合割：飛車落ち
下手：マキシム・ヴァシェ＝ラグラーヴ
上手：羽生善治

| $\triangle 3$ 四歩 | －7六歩 | $\triangle 4$ 四歩 | － 5 八飛 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\triangle 4$ 二銀 | －5 六歩 | $\triangle 4$ 三銀 | － 4 八玉 |
| $\triangle 6$ 二玉 | － 3 八玉 | $\triangle 7$ 二玉 | － 6 八銀 |
| $\triangle 6$ 二銀 | 45 七銀 | $\triangle 3$ 五歩 | －4六歩 |
| $\triangle 3$ 四銀 | $\triangle 4$ | 上 $\triangle$ 6四歩 | －4 4 銀 |
| $\triangle 6$ 三銀 | － 5 五歩 | $\triangle 7$ 四歩 | －7五歩 |
| $\triangle$ 同 歩 | －6六銀 | $\triangle 6$ 二金 | $\triangle$ |
| $\triangle 5$ 二金 | － 7 五銀 | $\triangle 7$ 四歩 | －6 六銀 |
| $\triangle 1$ 四歩 | －7 八飛 | $\triangle 7$ 三金 | －7 七桂 |
| $\triangle 8$ 四歩 | 48六歩 | $\triangle 1$ 三角 | －7九角 |
| $\triangle 3$ 三桂 | 44 八金 | $\triangle 3$ 六歩 | －同 歩 |
| $\triangle 4$ 五歩 | －8五歩 | $\triangle 4$ 六歩 | －88四歩 |
| $\triangle 4$ 五銀 | 4同 銀 | $\triangle$ 同 桂 | －8五桂 |
| $\triangle 8$ 四金 | －7三歩 | $\triangle$ 同 桂 | －同桂成 |
| $\triangle$ 同玉 | － 2 五桂 | $\triangle 4$ 七銀 | －同 金 |
| $\triangle$ 同歩成 | －同玉 | $\triangle 7$ ¢ | －同 |
| $\triangle 6$ 五歩 | 4同 銀 | $\triangle 8$ 七角 | － 6 四銀打 |
| $\triangle$ 同 銀 | －同 銀 | $\triangle$ 同 玉 | － 5 六銀 |
| $\triangle 4$ 六歩 | －同 玉 | $\triangle 3$ 四桂 | －4五玉 |
| $\triangle 4$ 四金 | －同玉 | $\triangle 4$ 三金 |  |

まで 79 手で上手の勝ち

| 寄付者一覧 | 小畑 勉 | 前田正宏 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 片山幸一 | 牧野満理瑛 |
|  | 菅野 明 | 松崎直樹 |
| 第55 回 WCCC の神戸開催 | 菅野恭子 | 松田一彦 |
| にご賛同いただき，ご奇附をお | 菊田裕司 | 松田献一 |
| 奇せいただきましてありがとう | 北浜健介 | マツモトヒデアキ |
| ございました。 | 小泉潔 | 水野勝久 |
| 感謝の意を込めまして，ご寄 | 護堂浩之 | 満田明彦 |
| 附いただきました方々のご方名 | 小林 徹 | 村井秀行 |
| をここに掲載させていただきま | 駒井信久 | 柳田 明 |
| す。 | 小山邦明 | 柳原裕司 |
| （敬称略，あいうえお順） | 斎藤夏雄 | 屋並仁史 |
|  | 佐藤善起 | 山岸智彦 |
| （株）リコー将棋部 | 澤村津久志 | 山口 誠 |
| 日本レストランシステム | 塩野入清一 | 山崎博嗣 |
| NPO えんだい | 塩見 亮 | 山田康平 |
|  | 島本 実 | 山田嘉則 |
| seishou hakus | 菅野哲郎 | 山村伸太郎 |
| 相澤真樹 | 諏訪景子 | ヨシイヒロシ |
| 赤田陽子 | 諏訪典子 | 吉井雅人 |
| 東 典幸 | 高野貞男 | 吉岡真紀 |
| 新井浩実 | 田島秀男 | 吉田 彰 |
| 生川仁司 | 樽本周馬 | 吉田清二 |
| 池崎節子 | 冨田八枝子 | 吉橋和夫 |
| 石川和彦 | 中村雅哉 | 渡井美代子 |
| 伊藤 正 | 中村龍二 | 渡辺 努 |
| イトウノブタネ | 行方尚史 |  |
| 井上慶太 | 西田尚史 |  |
| 猪俣昭逸 | 則内誠一郎 |  |
| 上田初美 | 羽生善治 |  |
| 上田吉－ | 林 隆弘 |  |
| 内田昭 | 原亜津夫 |  |
| 内野力 | 日野喜久男 |  |
| 宇津木健 | ヒラサワタカシ |  |
| 浦壁和彦 | 広瀬行夫 |  |
| 浦野真彦 | 弘光 弘 |  |
| 江崎哉子 | 藤沢秀樹 |  |
| 及川拓馬 | 藤田麻衣子 |  |
| 太田慎一 | 船江恒平 |  |
| 尾崎行孝 | 星野健司 |  |

## Appendix

## Cover Problems

Diyan Kostadinov
Dedicated to all WCCC KOBE 2012
participants！
Mate in 2 moves

## Solutions：

KO：Try 1．Rxg6？（2．Sxf5\＃）
1．．．Bxg6 2．Sf3\＃
$1 . . . \mathrm{Bxc5}+2 . \mathrm{Bxc} 5 \#$ ，but $1 . . . \mathrm{Bg} 4$ ！
Key 1．Rf3！（2．Rxf4\＃），
1．．．Bxf3 2．S：f3\＃
1．．．g5 2．Sxf5\＃
1．．．Bxd2 2．c6\＃
1．．．Bxc5＋2．Bxc5\＃
BE：Try 1．c8S？（2．Se7\＃）
1．．．Sd5 2．Qxd5\＃，but 1．．．Sc6！
Key 1．Rg6！（2．Rxf6\＃）
1．．．Se4 2．Qxe4\＃
1．．．Sd5 2．Qxd5\＃，
1．．．Bxd4 2．Sxd4\＃
1．．．Rxd6 2．Sxd6\＃
1．．．gxf4 2．g4\＃

## K

山田康平
63 馬，同馬， 55 飛，同玉，
65 金まで 5 手

## 0

伊藤正
65 桂，同馬，64龍，同馬，
43 香成まで 5 手

## B

## 若島正

46 金，同桂， 54 金，同角，
64 龍まで 5 手

## E

太田慎一
54 香， 45 桂， 55 飛，同玉，
56 馬まで 5 手


[^0]:    Q 5

    ## CAN YOU GUESS THE GAME SCORE?

    "I won a game very easily yesterday."
    "Really? How did you play?"
    "I only moved one piece and other 15 pieces of mine didn't move. I played White, and all I did from my second move were just took the piece which Black moved just before. When I took the last Black piece on c6,Black resigned. It was interesting that I took his 15 pieces on 15 different squares."

[^1]:    "To make this game easier, this time I wrote not only square and piece name but also whose and what number of move on each card, like $1 . g 4$ or 3 ...Qxgl. Well then, can anybody tell all six moves by inference from your card?" The leader asked them.
    "Yes I can." One brilliant female member said.
    Then all other members said simultaneously. "If she can, I can."

